

Kirsten Huijps and Henk Hogeveen



Universiteit Utrecht





What to expect?

Mastitis from an economic perspective

- Costs of mastitis
 - Farmers own estimation
- Benefits of improved management
- Conclusions









Dairy farm





Dairy processor



Consumption



After: McInerney, 1996









Mastitis











Effects

Lower efficiency

- Lower suitability for processing
- Less satisfaction
- Lower societal value







What to expect?

Mastitis from an economic perspective

- Costs of mastitis
 - Farmers own estimation
- Benefits of improved management
- Conclusions







Cost of mastitis

Default cost calculations

- Based on literature and expertise
- Clinical mastitis: Yearly incidence
- Subclinical mastitis: Bulk milk somatic cell count
- Conservative estimations

Data collection

- 64 dairy farms
- Data entry at "open farm days"
- Assistance from researcher







Theory vs practise (€/cow/year)

	Default	
Production losses subclinical	16	
Production losses clinical	23	
Veterinarian (€/cow/year)	0.3	
Drugs	6	
Discarded milk	9	
Culling	22	
Penalties	0	
Labour	4	
Total economic losses	81	





Theory vs practise (€/cow/year)

		F	arm specific		
	Default	Mean	Low	High	
Production losses subclinical	16	36	6.8	72.4	
Production losses clinical	23	10	2.5	22	
Veterinarian (€/cow/year)	0.3	0.6	0	2.5	
Drugs	6	10.6	3.5	26.7	
Discarded milk	9	7.9	2.5	17.9	
Culling	22	17.9	0	46	
Penalties	0	0.30	0	2.4	
Labour	4	3.8	0	15	
Total economic losses	81	78	31.4	153.8	





What's behind this

Farm specific

	Default	Mean	Low	High
Costs milk prod. losses (€/kg)	0.12	0.07	0.03	0.10
Visit costs (€/visit)	20	24	0	67.8
Drug costs (€/treatment)	20	33	17.5	73.5
Value labour (€/hour)	18	19	0	30
Culling costs (€/culled case)	480	383	0	750







Real vs expected costs (n=64)









Too high estimation









Too low estimation







What to expect?

Mastitis from an economic perspective

- Costs of mastitis
 - Farmers own estimation
- Benefits of improved management
- Conclusions







Costs alone are useless

- Is there room for improvement?
- 18 management measures (Huijps et al., 2010)
 -Quantify effect
 -436 scientific papers (1996-2006)
 -43 relevant and useful
- Expert sessions

 Effect 100 % contagious
 Effect 100 % environmental
 Efffect on BMSCC
 Efffect on clinical mastitis







costs management measures (labour and materials)





	Average
Farm characteristic	
Nr cows	65
Production (kg/cow/year)	8,500
% environmental mastitis	65
% contagious mastitis	35
BTSCC	200
Incidence of clinical mastitis (%)	30
Milking places in milking parlour	12
Costs of mastitis (€/farm/year)	4,743
Costs of mastitis (€/cow/year	73





		Farm type	
	Average	Problem	Good
'arm characteristic	-		
Nr cows	65	65	65
Production (kg/cow/year)	8,500	8,500	8,500
% environmental mastitis	65	50	50
% contagious mastitis	35	50	50
BTSCC	200	350	100
Incidence of clinical mastitis (%)	30	40	10
Milking places in milking parlour	12	12	12
Costs of mastitis (€/farm/year)	4,743	7,199	1,405
Costs of mastitis (€/cow/year	73	111	22
Net benefits (€/cow/year) of managemer	nt measure		
Milking clinical last	-29	-39	-9
Milking subclinical last	-28	-36	-10
Separate cloth	-17	-12	-21
Wash dirty udder	2	5	-2
Prestripping	-28	-23	-32
Milkers' gloves	2	7	-3
Dipping	0,3	16	-21
Rinse clusters clinical	6	14	1
Rinse clusters subclinical	-116	-170	-46
Replace teat cup liners	-7	-3	-10
Treatment protocol	-4	1	-9
Drying off	27	46	4
Keep cows standing	4	9	1
Dry cow minerals	-0,3	9	-9
Overcrowding	-14	-7	-20
Clean boxes	-47	-41	-51
Clean yards	-45	-42	-50
	11	2	21



		Farm type	
	Average	Problem	Good
arm characteristic			
Nr cows	65	65	65
Production (kg/cow/year)	8,500	8,500	8,500
% environmental mastitis	65	50	50
% contagious mastitis	35	50	50
BTSCC	200	350	100
Incidence of clinical mastitis (%)	30	40	10
Milking places in milking parlour	12	12	12
Costs of mastitis (€/farm/year)	4,743	7,199	1,405
Costs of mastitis (€/cow/year	73	111	22
let benefits (€/cow/year) of managemen	it measure		
Milking clinical last	-29	-39	-9
Milking subclinical last	-28	-36	-10
Separate cloth	-17	12	-21
Wash dirty udder	$\langle 2$	5	-2
Prestripping	-28	-23	-32
Milkers' gloves	2	7	-3
Dipping	0.3	16	-21
Rinse clusters clinical	6	14	1
Rinse clusters subclinical	-116	-170	-46
Replace teat cup liners	-7	-3	-10
Treatment protocol	-4		-9
Drying off	27	46 >	4
Keep cows standing	4	9	1
Dry cow minerals	<u>(-0,3</u>	9	-9
Overcrowding	-14	-7	-20
Clean boxes	-47	-41	-51
Clean yards	-45	-42	-50
Ontimize feed	-11	-3	-21



		Farm type	
	Average	Problem	Good
Farm characteristic	-		
Nr cows	65	65	65
Production (kg/cow/year)	8,500	8,500	8,500
% environmental mastitis	65	50	50
% contagious mastitis	35	50	50
BTSCC	200	350	100
Incidence of clinical mastitis (%)	30	40	10
Milking places in milking parlour	12	12	12
Costs of mastitis (€/farm/year)	4,743	7,199	1,405
Costs of mastitis (€/cow/year	73	111	22
Net benefits (€/cow/year) of managemen	nt measure		
Milking clinical last	-29	-39	-9
Milking subclinical last	-28	-36	-10
Separate cloth	-17	-12	-21
Wash dirty udder	2	5	-2
Prestripping	-28	-23	-32
Milkers' gloves	2	7	-3
Dipping	0.3	16	-21
Rinse clusters clinical	6	14	1
Rinse clusters subclinical	-116	-170	-46
Replace teat cup liners	-7	-3	-10
Treatment protocol	-4	1	-9
Drying off	27	46	4
Keep cows standing	4	9	1
Dry cow minerals	-0,3	9	-9
Overcrowding	-14	-7	-20
Clean boxes	-47	-41	-51
Clean yards	-45	-42	-50
Ontimize feed	-11	-3	-21





What to expect?

Mastitis from an economic perspective

- Costs of mastitis
 - Farmers own estimation
- Benefits of improved management
- Conclusions









In summary

Mastitis costs money

- Mastitis is still expensive (farmers underestimate)
- Losses differ -> farm specific calculations

Effectiveness of management

- Farm specific effectiveness
- Effect of measure depends on assumptions (dynamics)







Thank you for your attention!

nomic decisions in mastitis managem

Economic decisions in mastitis management

sten Huijps

2009

Kirsten Huijps