

#### Agrar- und Ernährungswissenschaftliche Fakultät

#### CAU

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel Institut für Tierzucht und Tierhaltung

# Pedigree analysis and estimation of

inbreeding effects on calving traits in an

### organized performance test for functional

#### traits



D. Hinrichs, J. Almeroth, and G. Thaller

Institute of Animal Breeding and Husbandry, Christian-Albrechts-University







- Introduction
- Material and methods
- Results
- Discussion
- Outlook



# Introduction

- Inbreeding occurs if parents have ancestors in common
- Inbreeding can not be avoided in closed populations
- Inbreeding is associated with:
  - Loss of heterozygosity
  - Reduction of genetic variance
  - Inbreeding depression



# Introduction

- Inbreeding depression in Holstein Friesian (some examples)
  - Wiggans et al. (1995)
    - Inbreeding depression per percent increase in F
      - 29.6kg milk
      - 1.08kg fat
      - 0.97kg protein
  - Sörensen et al. (2006) reported inbreeding depression for mastitis



## **Performance test - phenotypic data**

Data recording on three commercial farms with an overall herd size of 3,200 cows – mimic HF situation in Germany

- Data recording period from February
  1998 to December 2008
- 36,610 calvings observed
- All calves were weighed after birth
- Calving ease was recorded on a scale between 1 and 5



## Introduction

## Aim of this study

- Pedigree analysis, with respect to

- Effective number of founders
- Ancestors with highest impact
- Estimation of inbreeding effects for
  - Stillbirth
  - Birth weight



# **Material and Methods**

## **Incidence** of stillbirth in data set

- -8.08% of all calving events were coded as stillbirth in total
  - 10.11% bull calves were born dead
  - 5.85% cow calves were born dead
  - First calving cows had a stillbirth rate of 13.52%
  - Stillbirth rate in lactation numbers > 1 was 4.87%



# **Material and Methods**

## **Pedigree information**

- Pedigree was constructed in collaboration with VIT Verden
  - Pedigree includes 70,938 animals
    - 25,485 bulls
    - 45,453 cows
  - Pedigree goes back until 1906, but most animals were born after 1950
  - Program PEDIG was used

# **Material and Methods**

## Model

•  $y_{ijklmn} = hks_i + glnr_j + \beta F_k + mv_l + animal_m + e_{ijklmn}$ 

#### where:

- y<sub>ijklmn</sub>
- hks<sub>i</sub>
- glnr<sub>j</sub>
- $\beta F_k$
- mv<sub>l</sub>
- animal<sub>m</sub>
- e<sub>ijklmn</sub>

- Observation (birth weight or stillbirth)
- = Effect of ith herd-calving-season
- = Effect of sex within lactation
- Regression on inbreeding coefficient of the dam or of the calf
- = Effect of the maternal grandsire
- = Effect of the animal
- = Residual effect





#### Pedigree completeness (10 generations)







	Reference population 1 (1999 – 2003)	Reference population 2 (2003-2008)
Effective number of founders	95	83
Number of ancestors explaining 50% of the gene pool	8	7
Number of ancestors explaining 75% of the gene pool	50	30
Number of ancestors explaining 95% of the gene pool	600	400





Total and marginal genetic contribution (%) of the 10 ancestors with the highest impact on reference population 1 (1999 – 2003)

Name	total	marginal	year of birth
Elevation	14.66	14.66	1965
Chief	10.03	10.03	1962
Bell	5.50	5.50	1974
Cleitus	7.67	4.80	1981
Blackstar	7.31	4.57	1983
Dam of Starbuck	4.08	4.08	1971
Dam of Valerian	3.73	3.73	1966
Ivanho	9.02	2.44	1952
Fond Matt	2.58	2.12	1960
Ned Boy	2.21	1.79	1979
Total	53.72		





Total and marginal genetic contribution (%) of the 10 ancestors with the highest impact on reference population 2 (2003 – 2008)

Name	total	marginal	year of birth
Elevation	15.73	15.73	1965
Chief	10.57	10.57	1962
Bell	6.67	6.67	1974
Blackstar	8.01	5.00	1983
Dam of Starbuck	4.87	4.87	1971
Dam of Valerian	3.87	3.87	1966
Cleitus	6.11	3.82	1981
Ivanho	9.73	2.42	1952
Dam of Aerostar	2.92	2.19	1980
Ned Boy	2.61	2.12	1979
Total	57.26		





## **Development of F over time**







#### Inbreeding coefficient of calves born alive vs. inbreeding coefficients of calves died within 48 hours after birth (stillbirth)







#### **Regression on inbreeding coefficient of the cow**

	Birth weight (kg)	Stillbirth (%)	
Additive genetic variance	5.26	0.0023	
Maternal grandsire variance	0.049	0.000007	
Residual variance	19.59	0.07	
Heritability	0.21	0.03	
<b>Regression on F</b>	0.45	0.20	

=> Both regression coefficients on F were not significant





#### **Regression on inbreeding coefficient of the calf**

	Birth weight	Stillbirth
	(KY)	(70)
Additive genetic variance	15.59	0.0017
Maternal grandsire variance	0.0000012	0.00043
Residual variance	11.70	0.07
Heritability	0.57	0.03
Regression on F	- 0.24	0.26

=> The regression coefficents on F for birth weight was not significant, *but the regression on F for stillbirth was significant.* 





 Ancestors and marginal genetic contributions of this study are in agreement with other studies, e.g. Hansen (WCGALP,2006)

 The number of effective founders decreases from 95 to 83 (?)



## Conclusion

**Inbreeding of cow not significant but** 

- \* higher birth weight of calf (0.453 kg / %F)
- \* higher stillbirth rate (+0.2% / %F)

Inbreeding of the calf causes

- \* lower birth weight (-0.244kg / %F), n.s.
- \* higher stillbirth rate ( +0.26% / %F) (\*)





- -Using different approaches for the estimation of inbreeding effects, e.g.
  - \* concept of ancestral inbreeding
  - \* partial inbreeding -> ancestors

 Estimation of inbreeding effects for further functional traits, e.g. effect of inbreeding on different diseases



# Thanks for your attention