



# The use of EUROP classification traits and X-ray computed tomography (CT) measurements of rib samples from six cattle breeds to predict slaughter value

Holló, G., Somogyi, T., Anton, I., Holló, I.



K A P O S V Á R  
U N I V E R S I T Y



Research Institute for  
Animal Breeding and  
Nutrition

HUNGARY

# Background

- ▶ Accurate assessments and prediction of carcass tissue and value are of great importance for suppliers of meat to consumers.
- ▶ The S/EUROP system is currently in use for cattle carcass classification in Europe.
- ▶ A number of different technologies for measuring the composition of carcass are available.
- ▶ X-ray computer tomography (CT) provides good carcass composition measurements in medium sized animals.
- ▶ CT scanning of rib cuts may deliver accurate information on beef carcass composition.

# Aim

The EUROP classification scores and the tissue composition of rib samples determined by CT-scanning were used to investigate the best method for prediction of carcass composition of young fattening bulls from different genotypes.

# Materials and Methods



## Fattening

Young BULLS

Angus (A), Charolais (CH), Holstein (H), Hungarian Simmental (HS), Hungarian Grey (HG) crossbred Hungarian Grey (CHxHG)



## Slaughter

600 kg LW, under commercial slaughterhouse



## EUROP grading

with a scale ranging from 1 (very poor conformation) to 1 8 (very good conformation); fatness score was measured with a 15-point scale



## Dressing

24 h postmortem right half carcasses weighed and dissected into muscle, fat and bone, tendons



## CT scanning

11–13th rib cuts scanned (SIEMENS EMOTION 6), muscle, bone fat tissue determined

# Results—descriptive statistics

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
EUROP <sub>meat</sub>	3	12	7.77	2.00
EUROP <sub>fat</sub>	5	11	6.73	1.13
Lean%	63.27	78.95	71.35	3.07
Bone %	15.30	23.16	18,53	1.75
Fat %	1.71	15.03	7.16	3.03
Muscle%	54.44	78.97	68.38	4.81
Bone %	8.20	16.68	12.18	2.05
Fat%	4.22	21.48	10,81	4.08

# Results

Coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) by regression analysis and standard errors of estimate (SEE)

Dep. variable	Predictors	$R^2$ (P-value)	SEE
Meat, kg	$CT_{\text{fat area}} + CT_{\text{muscle area}} + CCW$	0.94(***)	2.73
Meat, %	$CT_{\text{muscle \%}}$	0.72(***)	1.63
Bone, kg	$CT_{\text{bone pixelsum}} + CCW$	0.72(***)	4.34
Bone, %	$CT_{\text{bone \%}}$	0.51(***)	1.22
Fat, kg	$CT_{\text{fat area}}$	0.84(***)	2.10
Fat, %	$CT_{\text{fat \%}}$	0.85(***)	1.17

# Results

## Relation between real carcass composition and EUROP categories



Carcass	EUROP-muscle score	EUROP-fat score	CT muscle %	CT fat %
Fat, %		0.69		0.92
Meat, %	0.34		0.85	

Variable	R <sup>2</sup>	SEE	Parameters in equation
Meat %	0.09	2.94	EUROP-muscle score
	0.72	1.63	Ctmuscle%
	0.75	1.57	Ctmuscle%+EUROP-muscle score

# Conclusion

- ▶ Findings confirmed that the prediction of carcass composition can be achieved more objectively with the CT data inclusion into EUROP carcass classification system.