

Statistic-genetical analysis of auction price of Texel, Suffolk and German white-headed mutton rams

J. Maxa^{1*}, N. Borchers², H. Thomsen³, H. Simianer¹,
M. Gauly¹, A.R. Sharifi¹

¹Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics, University of Göttingen, Germany

²Chamber of Agriculture Schleswig-Holstein, Futterkamp, Germany

³Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, University of Aarhus, Research Centre
Foulum, Denmark

* jmaxa@gwdg.de

Introduction

- 224,000 sheep in Schleswig-Holstein – 6,000 participating in the intensive registration system
- Texel, Suffolk and German white-headed mutton are the most widespread
- Breeding values estimated for 4 traits which are recorded before auction
- Auction price varies considerably from 120 to 2600 €

- Selling surplus of rams at auction yields an additional but important income
- Investigation of important factors affecting auction price needed
- Knowledge on relation between auction price and the traits recorded at licensing are necessary for understanding of price characteristics

Objectives

- Analysis of important factors affecting auction price
- Determination of impact of performance traits recorded at licensing on auction price
- Estimation of genetic parameters for performance traits and auction price

Materials and methods

- Performance trait data from 1988 to 2007

	No. of animals	Mean (SD)
Average daily gain (g)	19,055	357.1 (69.5)
Muscle depth (mm)	8,950	33.6 (4.0)
Fat depth (mm)	8,950	8.1 (2.7)
Conformation (1-9)	18,928	6.3 (0.8)
Muscle mass (1-9)	18,934	6.9 (0.7)
Wool quality (1-9)	18,934	6.9 (0.6)

- Auction price data from 2003 to 2007 in the range from 150 to 1000 €
- Auction prices of 1,133 Texel, 373 Suffolk and 341 German white-headed mutton rams

	Mean (SD)
Auction price (€)	315.9 (133.7)
Auction price group (1-10)	5.5 (2.1)
Age at auction (days)	216.0 (18.8)
Weight at auction (kg)	74.8 (16.3)

- Statistical analysis of fixed effects carried out using general linear model
- Impact of traits recorded at licensing analysed with multiple regression
- Genetic analysis carried out with multivariate animal model
- ASREML package (*Gilmour et al., 1998*)

Model: Auction price (AP)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AP} = & \text{Breed} \\ & + \text{Owner} \\ & + \text{PrP genotype} \\ & + \text{Year of auction} \\ & + \text{Direct additive genetic effect} \\ & + \text{Residual} \end{aligned}$$

Fixed

Random

Model: Licensing traits (LT)

LT = Average daily gain, Muscle depth, Fat depth,
Conformation, Muscle mass, Wool quality

- LT** = Breed
+ Flock
+ Litter size
+ Parity
+ Year of licensing
+ Regression on age at licensing
+ Direct additive genetic effect
+ Residual
- Fixed**
- Random**

Results

F-values for fixed effects on auction price

Weight at licensing	215.4
Conformation	153.7
PrP genotype	69.3
Breed	45.8
Muscle mass	22.4
Year of auction	21.0

Wool quality	6.3
Average d. gain	5.6
Muscle depth	4.7
Owner	3.1
Fat depth	0.2^{NS}

NS = not significant ($P \leq 0.05$)

LS means of auction price (1-10) for type traits

	Score class		
	≤6	7	≥8
Conformation	3.89 ^a	4.93 ^b	5.24 ^c
Muscle mass	4.37 ^a	4.64 ^a	5.05 ^b
Wool quality	4.49 ^a	4.69 ^a	4.89 ^b

Standard errors: 0.09 - 0.16

$P \leq 0.05$

Impact of traits (in %) on auction price

	Texel	Suffolk	G. mutton
Weight at licensing	74.29		
Conformation	17.18		
Muscle mass	5.38		
Average d. gain	1.93		
Muscle depth	0.95		
Fat depth	0.18 ^{NS}		
Wool quality	0.03 ^{NS}		

NS = not significant ($P \leq 0.05$)

Impact of traits (in %) on auction price

	Texel	Suffolk	G. mutton
Weight at licensing	74.29	63.59	
Conformation	17.18	23.79	
Muscle mass	5.38	2.45	
Average d. gain	1.93	8.48	
Muscle depth	0.95	0.02 NS	
Fat depth	0.18 NS	0.00 NS	
Wool quality	0.03 NS	1.67	

NS = not significant ($P \leq 0.05$)

Impact of traits (in %) on auction price

	Texel	Suffolk	G. mutton
Weight at licensing	74.29	63.59	75.59
Conformation	17.18	23.79	20.41
Muscle mass	5.38	2.45	1.61
Average d. gain	1.93	8.48	0.02 ^{NS}
Muscle depth	0.95	0.02 ^{NS}	1.85
Fat depth	0.18 ^{NS}	0.00 ^{NS}	0.00 ^{NS}
Wool quality	0.03 ^{NS}	1.67	0.52 ^{NS}

NS = not significant ($P \leq 0.05$)

Heritability estimates

Auction price	0.27 (0.06)
Average d. gain	0.38 (0.02)
Muscle depth	0.32 (0.03)
Fat depth	0.38 (0.03)
Conformation	0.10 (0.01)
Muscle mass	0.21 (0.02)
Wool quality	0.24 (0.02)

Genetic and phenotypic correlations

	Auction price	
	r_G	r_P
Average d. gain	0.42 (0.10)	0.49 (0.02)
Muscle depth	0.34 (0.12)	0.28 (0.02)
Fat depth	0.17 (0.12)	0.16 (0.02)
Conformation	0.49 (0.13)	0.47 (0.02)
Muscle mass	0.39 (0.12)	0.42 (0.02)
Wool quality	0.23 (0.12)	0.18 (0.02)

Conclusions

- Live weight followed by conformation and muscle mass have the major influence
- Minimum impact of ultrasound measurements of muscle and fat depth
- Important effect of breed, PrP genotype and owner of the ram
- Favourable correlations between auction price and performance traits

Thank you for your attention!



27th August 2009

EAAP 2009, Barcelona, Session S.49b

Abstract no. 4313