S.40 "Pig Production Free Communications"

THE MODEL FOR PROFITABILITY ESTIMATION IN PIG PRODUCTION

Čitek, J., Šprysl, M., Stupka, R., Kratochvílová, H. Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Department of Animal Husbandry, 165 21 Prague 6-Suchdol, Czech Republic. Kamycka 129, Prague 6-Suchdol, citek@af.czu.cz

OBJECTIVE

This work is concerned with the quantification of the influence of genotype, of nutrition, sex, growth intensity, the extent of reproduction, prices of feed, and of carcass on the effectiveness of pig breeding, which is determined by given expense, profits, and profitability. At the same time the interdependence of the monitored factors on the economics of production, which enable these factors to be changed, is shown.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data were obtained from two station tests of animals, representing two groups of crossbred pigs of for a total number of 144 animals. With each pig, the fattening parameters were monitored at weekly intervals during the entire period: average live weight in kg (LW), daily feed consumption – consumption of CFM in kg per day (DFI), average daily gain in g (ADG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), lean meat percentage (LMP). The economic parameters which were used for the calculation of costs, revenues and profitability were the result of the parameters of production potential of the pigs tested and from average commodity prices in the Czech Republic in 2007. The total cost per fattened pig (TC) included the costs per weaned piglet up to 25 kg (CPI), feed (CFE) and other costs (COT), weaned piglets /sow/year (PIY). Revenues per pig (RE) represented the sale price for one pig at slaughter.

Conclusions

The economic analysis of production indexes shows that the overall costs for the fattened piece are considerably influenced by the number of bred piglets, feed price, not by the carcass price and growth intensity. The existence of a relation between the price for a pig and reproduction was not proven – price for the feed. The revenues per 1 piece are significantly influenced by the price of 1 kg carcass and growth intensity. The profitability is then considerably influenced by ADG, higher reproduction, feed price and price of 1 kg carcass.

The results of this work are following models enabling cost estimation, total income and profitability, pursuant to efficiency parameters and input resp. output prices. The models are for cost estimation in CZK per pig ($R^2=0,73$) y = 3633.006781 -0,543349*ADG -50.403655*PIY +129.815364*CFM

We constructed a multivariable hierarchical model to assess the relationship between the factors: $Y = \mu + A_i + R_j + C_k + J_1 + B_m + F_n + S_o + AF_{in} + e$, where: Y - measured values of costs, revenues and profitability, μ - overall average, A_i average daily gain, R_j - reproduction, C_k - feed cost, J_1 -sale price of carcass per kg, B_m - breed, F_n - nutrition intensity, S_o - sex, AF_{in} - interaction between the ith average daily gain and the nth nutrition intensity. +0.1063*ADG*CFM, total income estimation in CZK per pig (R² = 0,88) y = -2250.8248 +2.622693*ADG +87.926498*RP, profitability estimation in % (R² = 0.83) y = -214.292164 +0.1333936*ADG +1.6983062*PIY +0.3753065*CFM +2.850505*RP -0.0094251*ADG*CFM.

It was further proven that the feed intensity significantly influences the overall costs per 1 fed pig. For the producers of pigs in the fattening of hogs it represents an increase in overall costs by EUR 5.39 applying the ad-libit feeding technique of EUR 3.80 per piece.

Also the important of a selection of breed combination was proven, where the fattening of four-breed combinations against three-breed combinations represents a decrease in overall costs by 1.5% and increase in revenues by EUR 1.3954 per piece.

As regards the efficiency, significant associations were proven with the intensity of nutrition, sex and breed. Ad-libit feed and fattening of hogs decrease efficiency by 4.1% - 6.6%. The use of four-breed genotypes can increase the efficiency of fatted pigs by 3.4%.

KESULTS												
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the factors and the variables included in the calculation of									Table 3. Estimates of the effects of the model describing profitability (€)			
the profit m	argin (PM) (€)										01	
Variable			TC ^a	RE ^b	PR ^c				Variable	Estimate	S.E.	p-value
		n	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Intercept	-205.737	0.2999	< 0.0001
Total		136	93.73	5.43	94.78	10.66	1.55	12.85	ADG	0.1307	0.0003	< 0.0001
Sex	1		04.05	5 00	02 50	11 44	0.02	10 45	PIY	1 7636	0.0014	< 0.0001
	Darrows	12	94.8/	5.20	93.59	11.44	-0.93	13.4/	price CEM	1.6552	1 561	0.0008
Breed	giits	04	90.50	4.05	96.00	1.52	0.30	7.00		00 0504	0.0224	<0.0000
(LWS x P	N)x(LWD x L)	72	92.73	5 2 5	98 36	673	6.22	7.08	D 1	00.0304	0.0324	<0.0001
(200 A I	PNx (LWD x L)	64	94.85	5.46	90.69	12.72	-3.78	15.66	Breed			
Feed	(,	01	7 1100					10100	(LWS x PN)x(LWD x L)	3.3913	0.0152	0,0032
	ad libitum	71	93.82	5.56	96.92	8.74	3.65	10.93	PNx (LWD x L)	0		
	restricted	65	93.41	5.07	87.29	13.29	-5.80	16.19	Feed			
a - TC = cost of feed -	+ price per weaned piglet + fixed	costs (€), b - F	RE= carcass weight *	price per kg carcass	sweight (€), c - PF	R = [(RE -TC)/TC]*	⁺ 100		ad libitum	-4.1377	0.0186	< 0.0001
Table 2. Estimates of the effects of the model describing the total cost (€)									restricted	0		
Variable				Estimate S.E.			2. p-value		Sex			
Intercept				121.2078		0.090	<0	.0001	barrows	-6.6097	0.0136	<0.0001
ADG	ADG			-0.0183	0183 0.000		0.1355		bilte	0	0.0100	
PIY				-1.8111		0.001	<0	.0001	total gaint price CEM	0.2(19	0.0017	0.0524
price CFM				129.8154		0.430	0.	0035	total gain" priceCFM	-0.2618	0.0017	0.0534
Breed				1 2054	1 2054		0.0255					
	$(LWS X PN)X(LWD X L)$ $DN_{Y}(LWD Y L)$			-1.3954 0.006		0.006	0.0255				5	
Feed	PINX (LVV D X	L)		0								le
reed	ad libitum			3 8017		0.006	<0	0001				
	restricted			0		0.000	<0	.0001				
Sex	1000110000			0					This research was supported by	the Ministry	of Agricultura	ne (QG 60045)
	barrows			5.3959		0.006	<.	0001	and the Ministry of Education	of Czech Repu	blic (MSM 60)460709).
	bilte			0								
total gain* priceCFM				0.1063		0.001	0.074					