EAAP Barcelona August 2009 Session 36

Effect of concentrate allowance in an automatic milking system

Martin Riis Weisbjerg & Lene Munksgaard

Department of Animal Health and Bioscience Faculty of Agricultural Sciences Research Centre Foulum Aarhus University Email: martin.weisbjerg@agrsci.dk



AARHUS UNIVERSITET

Faculty of Agricultural Sciences

Background



• Automatic milking (robot) has increased heavily in Denmark in recent years. Today ~20% of Danish dairy cows are automatically milked

- Automatic milking is based on cows' voluntary visits to the robots
- The milking robot should attract the cow
- The cows motivation to be milked is not strong enough to obtain optimal milking frequency
- Concentrate is used as reward in the robot

Aim



Examine the effect of:

- Amount of concentrate offered in the robot
- Energy concentration in ad libitum feed

On:

- Frequency of visits in the robot
- Feed intake and production

Research unit





Research unit with 150 cows (Danish Cattle Research Centre)

55 Danish Holstein (DH)

55 Danish Red (DR)

40 Danish Jersey (DJ)



Automatic milking system (de Laval)

Automatic forage intake measurement (Insentic)



Concentrate manger in the robot. Automatic emptying and registration of weight of residues



Experiment



87 cows (34 Holstein, 35 Red og 18 Jersey)

Divided on 4 treatments at calving Period 20-70 DIM used for data analysis

Treatments



| Treatment | H3 | М3 | M6 | L6 |
|---|------|--------|--------|-----|
| Energy concentration in MR | High | Medium | Medium | Low |
| Concentrate offer in robot (kg/d) | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Concentrate feeding rate (g/min) | 300 | 300 | 600 | 600 |

Treatments – Mixed rations



Composition of mixed rations (% DM) and energy concentration (forage was 2/3 maize silage and 1/3 grass/clover silage)

| | High | Medium | Low |
|-----------------|------|--------|------|
| Concentrate | 55 | 26 | 12 |
| Forage | 45 | 74 | 88 |
| NEL MJ/kg DM | 7.42 | 6.94 | 6.63 |

Results - Per cow per day



| Treatment | H3 | M3 | M6 | L6 | |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|------|-----------|
| Mixed ration | High | Medium | Medium | Low | Р |
| Concentrate | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | Treatment |
| Concentrate (kg) | | | | | |
| Intake | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.4 | <0.0001 |
| Residual | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.06 |
| Mixed ration | | | | | |
| Kg DM | 18.1 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 13.0 | <0.0001 |
| Milk yield | | | | | |
| Kg ECM | 34.9 | 30.2 | 31.8 | 31.4 | 0.01 |
| Visits robot | | | | | |
| Milkings | 2.66 | 2.52 | 2.64 | 3.13 | 0.004 |
| Rejections | 0.91 | 0.57 | 1.30 | 2.44 | <0.0001 |

Results



| Treatment | | H3 | M3 | | M6 | L6 | |
|----------------|------------|------|--------|---|--------|------|-----------|
| Mixed ration | | High | Medium | | Medium | Low | Р |
| Concentrate | 7 | 3 | 3 | | 6 | 6 | Treatment |
| Concentrate (k | g) | | | | | | |
| Intake | | 2.3 | 2.3 | | 3.4 | 4.4 | <0.0001 |
| Residual | | 0.2 | 0.2 | | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.06 |
| Mixed ration | | | | | | | |
| Kg DM | | 18.1 | 15.4 | | 15.3 | 13.0 | <0.0001 |
| Milk yield | | | | | | | |
| Kg ECM | | 34.9 | 30.2 | | 31.8 | 31.4 | 0.01 |
| Visits robot | | | | / | | | |
| Milkings | | 2.66 | 2.52 | | 2.64 | 3.13 | 0.004 |
| Rejections | | 0.91 | 0.57 | | 1.30 | 2.44 | <0.0001 |

| | Visits | Concentrate left over | ECM yield | MR intake |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| High energy concentration in MR | ~ | ~ | 1 | ↑ |

Results

| Treatment | H3 | M3 | M6 | L6 | |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|------|-----------|
| Mixed ration | High | Medium | Medium | Low | Р |
| Concentrate | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | Treatment |
| Concentrate (kg) | | | | | |
| Intake | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.4 | <0.0001 |
| Residual | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.06 |
| Mixed ration | | | | | |
| Kg DM | 18.1 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 13.0 | <0.0001 |
| Milk yield | | | | | |
| Kg ECM | 34.9 | 30.2 | 31.8 | 31.4 | 0.01 |
| Visits robot | | | | | |
| Milkings | 2.66 | 2.52 | 2.64 | 3.13 | 0.004 |
| Rejections | 0.91 | 0.57 | 1.30 | 2.44 | <0.0001 |
| | | | | | |

| | Visits | Concentrate left over | ECM yield | MR intake |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Low energy concentration in MR | 1 | (↓) | 2 | ↓ |



Results

| PETITIN OR OF LINE |
|--------------------|
| PSITAS ARHUS |

| | - | | | | |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|------|-----------|
| Treatment | H3 | M3 | M6 | L6 | |
| Mixed ration | High | Medium | Medium | Low | Р |
| Concentrate | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | Treatment |
| Concentrate (kg) | | | | | |
| Intake | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.4 | <0.0001 |
| Residual | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.06 |
| Mixed ration | | | | | |
| Kg DM | 18.1 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 13.0 | <0.0001 |
| Milk yield | | | | | |
| Kg ECM | 34.9 | 30.2 | 31.8 | 31.4 | 0.01 |
| Visits robot | | | | | |
| Milkings | 2.66 | 2.52 | 2.64 | 3.13 | 0.004 |
| Rejections | 0.91 | 0.57 | 1.30 | 2.44 | <0.0001 |
| | | | | | |

| | Visits | Concentrate left over | ECM yield | MR intake |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Increased concentrate offer | ~ | 1 | ~ | ~ |

Conclusion



• Frequency of voluntary visits to the robot can be affected by changing amount of concentrate offered and energy concentration in ad libitum feed

Further:

- Fed concentrate as proportion of offer -
- Concentrate intake as proportion of fed -

were both considerable below 100%

- \rightarrow Offer is not the same as fed out
- \rightarrow Fed out does not mean that it is eaten
- \rightarrow Left over will be eaten by other cows than intended
- (especially for automatic concentrate feeders in robots)





Thank you for your attention