



Materials and Methods 1/4

• Length of trial:

February 2008 to January 2009 (332 days)

• Animals:

48 Piemontese double muscled bulls divided in 4 treatment groups (divided in 3 sub groups homogeneous for LW)

- Housing: Open front barn in 12 slatted floor pens
- Initial average live weight: 279 ± 24 kg

Materials and Methods 2/4

Treatments:

2 dietary protein levels with or without inclusion of rumen protected CLA (group without inclusion of CLA in the diet received Hydrogenated Soybean Oil – HSO):

- High CP content and HSO → HP_{HSO}
- High CP content and CLA → HP_{CLA}
- Low CP content and HSO → LP_{HSO}
- Low CP content and CLA → LP_{CLA}

Composition of rations (% DM)											
	Adaptation	Experimental diets			ts						
	diet	HP _{HSO}	\mathbf{HP}_{CLA}	LP _{HSO}	LP _{CLA}						
Ingredients:											
Meadow hay	37.0	-	-	-	-						
Corn silage	24.0	25.0	25.0	27.7	27.7						
Corn meal	16.0	36.0	36.0	40.0	40.0						
Sugar beet pulp dried	6.0	10.2	10.2	11.3	11.3						
Wheat bran	6.0	6.3	6.3	7.0	7.0						
Soybean meal	6.0	12.6	12.6	3.3	3.3						
Wheat straw	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.6	6.6						
Vit. min. mix	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6						
Calcium soap	-	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9						
Top dressed (g/head/d):											
Hydrogenated soybean oil (HSO)	-	65		65							
Rumen protected CLA (RPCLA)			80		80						



Material and Methods 4/4

- Average Daily Gain (ADG)
- Feed Conversion Ratio
 (FCR)
- Cold dressing at slaughter
- N excretion following a mass balance approach (ERM, 2001)



Statistical analysis

Individual data were submitted to ANOVA:

$$\mathbf{y}_{ijk} = \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{C}_i + \mathbf{T}_j + \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{x} \mathbf{T}_j + \mathbf{e}_{ijk}$$

Pen data were submitted to ANOVA:

$$\mathbf{y}_{ijk} = \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{C}_i + \mathbf{T}_j + \mathbf{e}_{ijk}$$

C= effect of sub-group of LW (light, medium, heavy) T= effect of feed treatment

Orthogonal contrasts were run to evaluate the effects due to **Crude Protein level** (<u>CP</u>), Additive (<u>A</u>) and the interaction **Crude protein x Additive** (<u>CP x A</u>)



Chemica	l composition	of rations	(%DM)
Chemica	l composition	of rations	(%DM)

		Adaptation	E	xperime	ental diets	5
		diet	HP _{HSO}		LP _{HSO}	
Crude Protein (CP)	% DM	12.5	14.7	14.7	11.0	11.0
Net Energy (NE)	MJ/kg DM	-	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
Lipids	% DM	2.5	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
NDF	"	43.9	28.0	28.0	29.7	29.7
Starch	"	21.6	35.7	35.7	39.5	39.5

Evolution of Live Weight (kg LW)											
Periods		Treat	ment				Ρ				
	HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}		RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА			
Initial, 0 d	281	280	276	277	15						
After 120 d	470	453	432	437	23	***					
After 233 d	594	577	569	576	42						
Final, 332 d	686	655	659	672	52						

Average Daily Gain (kg/d)												
Periods		Treat	ment				Р					
	HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}		RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА				
Initial, 0-120 d	1.602	1.454	1.284	1.234	0.187	***						
Central , 120- 233 d	1.111	1.105	1.194	1.218	0.310							
Final, 233- 332 d	0.910	0.773	0.881	0.946	0.265							
Total, 0-332 d	1.228	1.129	1.132	1.174	0.151							

Dry matter intake (kg/d)												
Periods		Treat	ment				Ρ					
	HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}	LP _{CLA}	RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА				
Initial, 0-120 d	8.16	7.99	8.09	7.87	0.21							
Central , 120- 233 d	8.21	8.16	8.66	8.30	0.31							
Final, 233- 332 d	10.29	10.00	10.26	10.31	0.50							
Total, 0-332 d	8.82	8.66	8.94	8.76	0.27							

Feed Conversion Ratio (kg/kg)												
Periods		Treat	ment				Ρ					
	HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}	LP _{CLA}	RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА				
Initial, 0-120 d	5.09	5.50	6.32	5.97	0.25	**		*				
Central , 120- 233 d	7.39	7.46	7.32	6.82	0.78							
Final, 233- 332 d	11.40	13.16	11.81	11.00	1.55							
Total, 0-332 d	7.18	7.68	7.90	7.47	0.29							

	Carcass traits											
11			Treat	ment				Р				
		HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}		RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА			
and a state of the	Cold carcass weight, kg	468	444	438	450	38	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.			
	Cold dressing %	67.7	67.6	66.8	67.2	1.29	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.			
	Fleshiness ¹	5.17	5.30	5.27	5.11	0.58	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.			
	Fatness ²	1.93	1.86	1.86	1.83	0.17	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.			
	n.s.: not significant;	¹ Fleshin	ess: S=6	, E=5F	P=1; ² Fati	ness: 1= ve	ery lean5	=very fat				

N excretion												
		Treat	ment				Р					
N balance, kg/place/year	HP _{HSO}	HP _{CLA}	LP _{HSO}		RMSE	Protein level (CP)	Additive (A)	СРхА				
N consumed	72.4	71.1	54.8	53.7	1.7	***						
N retained	12.3	11.3	11.3	11.8	0.6							
N excreted	60.1	59.7	43.5	41.9	1.4	***						
N in manure ¹	42.1	41.8	30.4	29.4	1.0	***						
*** P < 0.001; ¹ As	suming	that 28%	∕օ of N տ	vas lost i	in atmosp	here						

Conclusions

- The reduction of the dietary CP from 14.6 to 11%:
 - * did not influence production and carcass traits over the whole trial
 - * reduced N excretion from 60 to 43 kg/bull place/year (-28%)
- The addition of CLA:
 - * Significantly enhanced FCR but on low CP ration only

• IMPLICATIONS:

*Low CP diets can be used with success on DMB. In areas where maximum N loads are permitted a lower N excretion offers the opportunity to increase markedly the LW production per hectare

Acknowledgements

PADOVA PROVINCE

ANABORAPI – National Ass. of Piemontese Bulls Farmers

COMPRAL cooperative

SILA s.r.l.

