EAAP 2009, Session 28 andres.legarra@toulouse.inra.fr

Whole-population relationship matrix including pedigree and markers for genomic selection



Andrés Legarra¹ & Ignacio Aguilar^{2,3} & Ignacy Misztal³ & Dave L Johnson⁴

Rational: use of DYD's or deregressed proofs for genomic selection is problematic

Loss of information

- Bias (selection)
- Tricky covariances and weights, in particular for females (YD's)
- Difficult for many species
- Family information ignored

OBJECTIVE: build up complete relationship matrix

- 🥹 Genomic relationship matrix
- As good as BayesB from experience
- If the relationship matrix includes all animals
- Raw records can be used for evaluation
- All animals benefit from increased accuracies from genomic selection
- Avoid selection bias

Conclusion

•Joint relationship matrix **H** gives a unified framework for including all data, pedigree and genotypes

•More elegant, simple and possibly accurate

•Useful for populations where DYDs are inaccurate (sheep, swine...)

¹INRA, UR 631, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France andres.legarra@toulouse.inra.fr

²INIA, Las Brujas, Uruguay

³Animal and Dairy Science, University of Georgia Athens 30602 USA

⁴LIC, Private Bag 3016, Hamilton, New Zealand

Joint relationships

- Let u₁ be ungenotyped animals and u₂ be genotyped animals. Let A be the numerator relationship matrix.
- Let G be the *genomic relationship matrix* based on SNP's (VanRaden 2008, Habier et al. 2007)
 - G contains information on relationships among ancestors and descendants of genotyped animals
 Need to account for G in Var (u₁) and in Cov (u₁,u₂)

Genomic information

 $\mathbf{u}_2 \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{G})$

Now, condition ungenotyped animals in genotyped animals by the selection index: Selection index

$$p(\mathbf{u}_{1}|\mathbf{u}_{2}) = N(\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21})$$

$$Var(\mathbf{u}_{1}|\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21} + \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21} =$$

$$= \mathbf{A}_{11} + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A}_{22})\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{21}$$

With this, we can create a joint distribution

Joint distribution H
$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N(0, \mathbf{H}), \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{11} & \mathbf{H}_{12} \\ \mathbf{H}_{21} & \mathbf{H}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A}_{22}) \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A}_{22}) \\ (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A}_{22}) \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Inverse of **H**
$$\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{G}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

H = classical relationships + a difference depending on the difference between « numerator » (A₂₂) and « genomic » (G) relationship matrices.

Notes:

- The selection index transmits genomic information in **G** backwards and forwards to all individuals in the pedigree to form **H**
- **H** can be used in genetic evaluations, even of very large size (millions). See Ignacy Misztal (this meeting).