



## Genetic analysis of dystocia and stillbirth in Holsteins based on data including calf weights

*B. Waurich<sup>1</sup>, R. Schafberg<sup>1</sup>, B. Rudolph<sup>2</sup>, H. H. Swalve<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Group Animal Breeding, University of Halle, Theodor-Lieser-Str.11, D-016120 Halle/Saale Germany,

<sup>2</sup>State Research Institute for Agriculture of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Wilhelm-Stahl-Allee 2,  
D-18196 Dummerstorf

Corresponding author: benno.waurich@landw.uni-halle.de



### Introduction

- The calving complex is of importance for aspects of animal welfare and economics
  - Calving ease and stillbirth: low heritability and unfavourable correlation between direct and maternal component
- ➔ Improvements for the recording of the trait are necessary to really capture the underlying biological mechanisms (Swalve and König, 2007)



## Introduction



- Implementation of a cooperator test herd scheme by RMV, LfA-MV und MLU Halle
  - For calving traits: proper recording of birth weight and sex of alive **and** stillborn calves
- ➔ Do these auxiliary traits lead to improved genetic evaluations for dystocia and stillbirth?



## Material and Methods

- Data from 22 herds
  - October 2005 to January 2009
  - Constraints:
    - Holstein
    - Single births
    - >30 kg birth weight
- ➔ 53,144 observations
- Stillbirth: death at birth or died between first 48 h (5,5%)
  - Dystocia: at least one assistant necessary (32,8%)



## Material and Methods

- Threshold model with probit-link function
- Estimation of variance components for sire of calf and maternal grandsire
- For each trait 4 models

$$M1: \Pr(y_{ijkno} = 1) = \Theta(m + H_i + YS_j + PA_k + \text{sire}_n + \text{mgs}_o)$$

$$M2: \Pr(y_{ijklno} = 1) = \Theta(m + H_i + YS_j + PA_k + \text{SEX}_l + \text{sire}_n + \text{mgs}_o)$$

$$M3: \Pr(y_{ijkmno} = 1) = \Theta(m + H_i + YS_j + PA_k + BW_m + \text{sire}_n + \text{mgs}_o)$$

$$M4: \Pr(y_{ijklmno} = 1) = \Theta(m + H_i + YS_j + PA_k + \text{SEX}_l + BW_m + \text{sire}_n + \text{mgs}_o)$$

- with:

Pr Probability for stillbirth or dystocia

$\Theta$  probit link function

H herd

YS

year-season of birth

PA Parity of dam

SEX

sex of calf

BW birth weight of calf



## Results

### Back-transformed probabilities for stillbirth and dystocia by parity and sex

	Parity of dam		Sex of calf	
	heifer	cow	male	female
n	19,944	33,200	27,433	25,711
Stillbirth (%)	9.32	3.18	5.85	3.62
Dystocia (%)	49.27	22.96	37.68	24.8



## Results

### Back-transformed probabilities for stillbirth and dystocia by birth weight

	Birth weight (kg)				
	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	>50
n	3,027	12,362	19,699	12,726	5,330
Stillbirth (%)	6.00	4.82	4.41	4.17	5.40
Dystocia (%)	16.45	21.32	29.95	38.49	52.66



## Results

### Direct und maternal heritabilities and correlations of stillbirth and dystocia

model <sup>1)</sup>	stillbirth			dystocia		
	$h^2_{\text{dir}}$	$h^2_{\text{mat}}$	$r_{\text{g dir-mat}}$	$h^2_{\text{dir}}$	$h^2_{\text{mat}}$	$r_{\text{g dir-mat}}$
M1	0.049	0.129	-0.363	0.112	0.097	-0.306
M2 +SEX	0.051	0.136	-0.409	0.116	0.102	-0.307
M3 +BW	0.048	0.133	-0.383	0.066	0.107	-0.372
M4 +SEX+BW	0.055	0.140	-0.424	0.072	0.108	-0.366

<sup>1)</sup> H=herd, YS=year-season of birth, PA=Parity of dam, BW=birth weight of calf



## Conclusions

- Sex and birth weight of calf do influence dystocia and stillbirth
- The traits analyzed do have the potential to be used as corrections in models for genetic evaluation
- Further traits (e.g. conformation, gestation length) also should be considered



## Acknowledgements



Cattle breeding organization of  
Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania



State Research Institute for Agriculture of  
Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania