Session 9: Sustainability assessment of sheep farming systems in the north of Spain: a methodological approach

Díez-Unquera B*., Ripoll R., Beltrán de Heredia I., Molina E., Olaizola A., Bernués A., Villalba D., Ruiz R.

*bdiez@neiker.net









Proyecto INIA Nº RTA 2006-00170-C03-01: "Diseño de herramientas para la evaluación de estrategias y optimización en sistemas de producción ovina"

INTRODUCTION

SHEEP PRODUCTION IN SPAIN

LIVESTOCK:

24 million sheep (26% of the total EU-25 population)

PRODUCT:

85% oriented to meat production (lamb)

15% oriented to milk production (cheese)

ECONOMICAL IMPORTANCE:

12% of the national gross product coming from livestock









INTRODUCTION

OBJECTIVE

TO TEST A METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING SUSTAINABILITY BY COMPARISON OF CASE STUDIES

MATERIAL & METHODS

MESMIS: The Indicator-based Framework for Evaluation of Natural Resource Management Systems (Masera et al., 1999)



1. PRODUCTIVITY High Low 2. STABILITY 4. RESILIENCE High Low High Low

5. ADAPTABILITY



6. EQUITY



7. SELF-SUFFICIENCY





RESULTS

SWOT analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Threats)

Weaknesses and Threats:

- ✓ Farmer's age
- ✓ Access to land
- ✓ Difficulties to start a new activity
- ✓ Abandonment of Grazing
- ✓ Increasing dependence on purchased feedstuffs with raising prices
- ✓ Predation (wolf and vulture)
- ✓ Low prices of raw products

Strengths and Opportunities:

- ✓ Systems integrated within their environments
- ✓ Availability of local resources
- ✓ Agro-silvo-pastoralism
- ✓ Low environmental impact
- ✓ Landscape maintenance
- ✓ Adding value activities (cheese)
- ✓ Quality Labels (PDO,PGI)

RESULTS

ATRIBUTE	INDICATOR	Туре	INDICATOR	Туре
Productivity (8)	Labour productivity 16% Animal productivity 15% Economic efficiency 14% Land productivity 13%	€ €€	Feed efficiency 13% Animal output 12% Herd fertility 9% Animal/ person 8%	€ €€
Stab, rel, res. (5)	Farm continuity 32% Off-farm income 22% Advisory services 21%	S € S	Facilities 15% Wildlife conflicts 10%	S E
Adaptability (7)	No. Incomes 23% Main income 17% Education 16% Land access 17%	€ € S S	Distance markets 10% Communal areas 10% Distance to Slaughterhouse 7%	S E S
Equity (10)	Salary level 14% Satisfaction level 13% Grazing 13% Energy efficiency 13% Protected areas 11%	S S E E E	Distance to services 11% Contracted labour 8% Leisure time 6% Stocking rate 6% Local breeds 5%	S S S E E
Self- sufficiency (7)	Feed self-sufficiency 18% Forage self-sufficiency 16% Endowment 15% Family labour 14%	€ €€ S	Own area 13% Subsidies 13% Added-value 11%	€ €

RESULTS





CONCLUSIONS

• The MESMIS framework allowed assessing sustainability in different farming conditions

• There was a positive relationship between degree of intensification and economical and social sustainability and a negative relationship with environmental sustainability

• Self-sufficiency and marketing of added-value product were key factors for sustainability





THANKYOU



