

Session29; Abstract N 3517; Author`s E-mail  
address: m\_petkova2002@yahoo.com  
Influence of Different Balance of Protein  
in the Rumen (BPR) on the Utilization of  
Dietary Nutrients by Cows and Rams



Vilnius, Lithuania  
59 EAAP Annual Meeting  
August 24-27, 2008  
Mariana Petkova,  
Institute of Animal Science  
Kostinbrod, Bulgaria



# Introduction:

BPR is a term which express protein needs of the ruminants. There is an opinion, that BPR gives great opportunity for better control of the rumen conditions for normal digestibility and maximum synthesis of microbial protein. It is necessary to make a test of the possibilities to regulate rumen conditions by nutrition.



# Purpose

To verify is the Balance of Protein in the Rumen (BPR) correct indicator for optimal utilization of dietary nutrients and can we use it only without crude protein by composition of daily rations for ruminants.



# Experimental Protocol

- **Total 14 trials in two series** (Physiological and Balance ) with 4 fistulated cows and 4 rams were carried out.
- **The protein levels** (in % of DM) were:  
8, 10, 12, 14 (I series) and  
8, 12, 16 (II series).
- **The BPR** (in g) were between:  
-54 ÷ 0 (cows) and 10 ÷ 30 (rams) (I series)  
-190 ÷ 130 (cows) and -19 ÷ 30 (rams) (II series)



# Experimental Protocol 2

## Nutrition:

- Meadow hay, sunflower meal, barley and mineral-vitamins mixture (I series)
- Corn silage, alfalfa hay, straw, conventional compound feeds (II series)



# Experimental Protocol 3

## Investigated parameters:

- Feeds chemical composition
- Rumen NH<sub>3</sub>-N levels (0,1,2,3,4h)
- BU-N levels (0,1, 4h)
- N balance and retention
- *Total in vivo* digestibility

# Experimental Protocol 4

## Methods:

- Analytical methods of feed used – in accordance with international standards (AOAC).
- NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the rumen (Conway)
- BU-N (Bernt & Bergmeyer)
- Standard statistical processing of the obtained results incl. correlation coefficients (BPR: all investigated traits)



# RESULTS

Chemical composition of the dietary ingredients (in % DM)

| Feedstuffs     | DM, % | CP    | EE   | CF    | Ash  |
|----------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Meadow hay     | 85,06 | 8,91  | 3,08 | 33,76 | 6,34 |
| Alfalfa hay    | 79,68 | 16,40 | 2,40 | 31,30 | 8,15 |
| Corn silage    | 21,38 | 9,70  | 2,69 | 28,35 | 8,94 |
| Wheat straw    | 82,04 | 5,00  | 0,88 | 41,96 | 8,70 |
| Sunflower meal | 84,34 | 38,43 | 4,06 | 20,47 | 7,16 |
| Corn           | 79,82 | 10,80 | 3,41 | 3,43  | 1,71 |
| Barley         | 82,04 | 11,39 | 2,31 | 6,18  | 3,15 |
| Wheat bran     | 80,40 | 17,86 | 4,39 | 10,44 | 5,55 |



# RESULTS 2

## Daily feed intake

| <b>Trials</b>         | <b>DM,kg</b> | <b>CP,<br/>% in DM</b> | <b>BPR</b>   | <b>NE,<br/>MJ/kg DM</b> | <b>ME,<br/>MJ/kg DM</b> |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| I series, cows,<br>1  | <b>5,770</b> | <b>8,16</b>            | <b>-54</b>   | <b>5,31</b>             | <b>9,77</b>             |
| 2                     | <b>5,600</b> | <b>10,11</b>           | <b>-14</b>   | <b>5,31</b>             | <b>9,79</b>             |
| 3                     | <b>5,340</b> | <b>11,70</b>           | <b>0</b>     | <b>5,31</b>             | <b>9,90</b>             |
| 4                     | <b>5,430</b> | <b>13,82</b>           | <b>4</b>     | <b>5,49</b>             | <b>10,43</b>            |
| Rams, 1               | <b>0,940</b> | <b>8,04</b>            | <b>10</b>    | <b>5,07</b>             | <b>9,76</b>             |
| 2                     | <b>0,923</b> | <b>10,55</b>           | <b>18</b>    | <b>5,01</b>             | <b>9,77</b>             |
| 3                     | <b>0,928</b> | <b>12,30</b>           | <b>25</b>    | <b>5,25</b>             | <b>10,05</b>            |
| 4                     | <b>0,911</b> | <b>14,22</b>           | <b>29</b>    | <b>5,55</b>             | <b>10,54</b>            |
| II series, cows,<br>1 | <b>6,95</b>  | <b>8,82</b>            | <b>-189</b>  | <b>4,35</b>             | <b>7,6</b>              |
| 2                     | <b>6,25</b>  | <b>12,86</b>           | <b>-32</b>   | <b>4,18</b>             | <b>7,94</b>             |
| 3                     | <b>7,22</b>  | <b>16,00</b>           | <b>128</b>   | <b>4,23</b>             | <b>8,76</b>             |
| Rams, 1               | <b>0,582</b> | <b>8,99</b>            | <b>-18,9</b> | <b>3,46</b>             | <b>7,6</b>              |
| 2                     | <b>0,677</b> | <b>12,62</b>           | <b>-3,2</b>  | <b>3,82</b>             | <b>8,10</b>             |
| 3                     | <b>0,704</b> | <b>16,45</b>           | <b>12,8</b>  | <b>4,12</b>             | <b>8,57</b>             |



# RESULTS 3

**NH<sub>3</sub>-N (mM) levels in the rumen of **cows**  
with different BPR**

| BPR / Item | 0h   | 1h    | 2h    | 3h    | 4h    |
|------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| - 54       | 2,93 | 6,05  | 4,31  | 2,37  | 1,53  |
| - 14       | 3,67 | 7,12  | 5,15  | 2,77  | 1,42  |
| 0          | 5,67 | 9,13  | 7,52  | 4,59  | 2,88  |
| 4          | 6,02 | 10,02 | 12,02 | 5,73  | 2,96  |
| - 189      | 3,54 | 8,00  | 7,18  | 4,35  | 1,90  |
| -32        | 3,77 | 9,48  | 10,55 | 8,49  | 5,52  |
| 128        | 3,99 | 11,60 | 13,24 | 12,24 | 11,68 |



# RESULTS 4

BU-N (mM) levels in the rumen of **cows** and **rams**

| BPR / Item | 0h   | 1h   | 4h   |
|------------|------|------|------|
| - 54       | 2,27 | 2,28 | 1,69 |
| - 14       | 2,06 | 2,70 | 2,69 |
| 0          | 2,21 | 2,10 | 2,39 |
| 4          | 2,10 | 2,88 | 2,85 |
| 10         | 2,47 | 2,47 | 2,38 |
| 18         | 2,95 | 3,86 | 2,97 |
| 25         | 4,71 | 4,77 | 5,17 |
| 29         | 4,83 | 5,95 | 5,42 |
| - 189      | 2,76 | 2,72 | 2,58 |
| -32        | 1,50 | 2,23 | 2,24 |
| 128        | 2,34 | 2,93 | 3,50 |
| - 19       | 2,76 | 3,36 | 3,48 |
| - 3        | 3,32 | 3,72 | 4,15 |
| 13         | 5,73 | 5,85 | 5,68 |



# RESULTS 5

N balances (g/d) at **rams** with different BPR

| BPR / Item  | N intake     | Urinary N   | Retained N  | Digested N    |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| <b>10</b>   | <b>12,09</b> | <b>2,99</b> | <b>1,58</b> | <b>1,41</b>   |
| <b>18</b>   | <b>15,59</b> | <b>4,87</b> | <b>3,43</b> | <b>1,44</b>   |
| <b>25</b>   | <b>18,28</b> | <b>5,85</b> | <b>5,32</b> | <b>0,53</b>   |
| <b>29</b>   | <b>20,74</b> | <b>6,08</b> | <b>8,03</b> | <b>- 2,22</b> |
| <b>- 19</b> | <b>8,38</b>  | <b>2,92</b> | <b>0,70</b> | <b>2,22</b>   |
| <b>- 3</b>  | <b>11,27</b> | <b>4,69</b> | <b>2,04</b> | <b>2,65</b>   |
| <b>13</b>   | <b>18,56</b> | <b>8,02</b> | <b>5,08</b> | <b>2,94</b>   |



# RESULTS 6

*Total in vivo digestibility by rams*

| Trials     |   | DM    | OM    | CP    | CF    |
|------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| I series,  | 1 | 61,58 | 63,71 | 37,59 | 46,09 |
|            | 2 | 65,44 | 67,20 | 53,27 | 50,89 |
|            | 3 | 65,70 | 67,58 | 57,20 | 52,30 |
|            | 4 | 72,10 | 74,38 | 69,30 | 58,60 |
| II series, | 1 | 49,57 | 52,74 | 43,16 | 47,03 |
|            | 2 | 51,54 | 53,57 | 59,75 | 52,33 |
|            | 3 | 58,40 | 61,29 | 70,69 | 52,92 |



# Conclusions:

- The relationship between BPR and  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  in the rumen of both cows and wethers is positive with high level of significance.
- Higher BPR is certain sign for higher  $\text{BU-N}$  in cows only 4 h after nutrition. It was found that BPR is more correct indicator for  $\text{BU-N}$  in wethers and their relationship is positive with high level of significance.
- The negative BPR led to stimulate in vivo digested N in the whole GIT. It was found negative correlation BPR:digested-N with middle level of significance ( $R = -0,66$ )



# Thank You



Prof. Assoc. Mariana Petkova, PhD  
Institute of Animal Science  
2232Kostinbrod, Bulgaria  
[m\\_petkova2002@yahoo.com](mailto:m_petkova2002@yahoo.com)  
<http://www.ias.bg>

