# Relevance of purebred information for predicting genetic merit of survival at farrowing of crossbred piglets

UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS

### A. Cecchinato<sup>1</sup>, G. de los Campos<sup>2</sup>, D. Gianola<sup>2</sup>, L. Gallo<sup>1</sup>, and P. Carnier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science, University of Padova, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Science, University of Wisconsin, USA

alessio.cecchinato@unipd.it





UNIVERSA PATAVINA LIBERTAS

# Introduction

In a commercial pig-breeding program:

- Economic importance is focused on crossbred performance
- Selection is largely carried out in nuclei of purebreds
- Animals are raised in different environments



nucleus



PATAVINA LIBERTAS

Genotype x environment interaction (**G x E**):

- Measured by the genetic correlation between phenotypes for the same genotype in different environments (Falconer, 1952)
- Reciprocal recurrent selection (RRS)
- Combined purebred and crossbred selection (CCPS)
- Use of data recorded only in crossbreds for evaluation of purebreds



Ø



PATAVINA

- Piglet farrowing survival is relevant
  - meconomical: estimated loss for each piglet dead ~ 15\$
    USA (NSIF, 2000) and 14 € Italy (C.R.P.A., 2006)
  - **animal welfare**: acceptability of the production system by the consumer
  - Additive genetic variation is large enough to be exploited in breeding programs (Grandison et al., 2002; Knol et al., 2002; Lund et al., 2008)
  - Does purebred performance (in nucleus) predict accurately outcomes in crossbreds (commercial tier) ?







 Infer (co)variance components for farrowing survival in purebred sire line and crossbred pigs







# Data

UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS



- 13,643 crossbreds (1,213 litters)
- born from 2000 to 2006
- originated by mating:



- data recorded (nucleus and commercial farm)
  - routine data (identity, sex, litter, etc.)
  - mpiglet mortality (dead or alive at birth)

O



## **Statistical analysis**



Bayesian bivariate threshold model

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{1s} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_{2s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1 \\ \mathbf{s}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{1d} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_{2d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}_1 \\ \mathbf{d}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{1l} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_{2l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{l}_1 \\ \mathbf{l}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where:

- $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_1$   $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_2$  is a vector to liability to survival for pure and crossbred
- $\beta_1$   $\beta_2$  vector of non genetic effects (sex, litter size, parity of the dam, year-month of birth)
- $\mathbf{S}_1 \ \mathbf{S}_2$  vector of sire effects
- $\mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{d}_2$  vector of dam effects
- $\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2$  vector of litter effects
- ε<sub>1</sub> ε<sub>2</sub> vector of residual effects



### **Statistical analysis**

UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{1},\mathbf{s}_{2},\mathbf{d}_{1},\mathbf{d}_{2},\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{l}_{2},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2},\mathbf{G}_{0sd},\sigma_{d2}^{2},\sigma_{l1}^{2},\sigma_{l2}^{2}) = p(\mathbf{\beta}_{1},\mathbf{\beta}_{2})p(\mathbf{s}_{1},\mathbf{s}_{2},\mathbf{d}_{1} | \mathbf{G}_{0})p(\mathbf{d}_{2} | \sigma_{d2}^{2})p(\mathbf{l}_{1} | \sigma_{l1}^{2})p(\mathbf{l}_{2} | \sigma_{l2}^{2})$$
$$\times p(\mathbf{G}_{0})p(\sigma_{d2}^{2})p(\sigma_{l1}^{2})p(\sigma_{l2}^{2})p(\sigma_{l2}^{2})p(\sigma_{e1}^{2})p(\sigma_{e2}^{2})$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s1}^{2} & Symm \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s1,s2} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s2}^{2} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d1,s1} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d1,s2} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d1}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

 LW-derived dams (dams of crossed animals) are unrelated to individuals in the sire nucleus









LIBERTAS

# **Model comparison**

• Four models evaluated using the Bayesian information criterion (**BIC**, Schwartz, 1978):

- M1 litter
- M2 litter + dam
- M3 litter + sire
- M4 litter + dam + sire
- Convergence assessed by inspection of trace plots
- After burn-in (20,000) the number of samples were 600,000 for M1 to M4 respectively











### Descriptive statistics of farrowing survival in purebred and crossbred line

UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS

	Number or value		
Characteristics	Purebred	Crossbred	
Total number of piglets born	39,919	13,643	
Survival at birth (%)	89.41	92.79	
Number of litters	3,162	1,213	
Number of sows	1,413	460	
Number of boars	168	168	







UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS

#### **BIC**<sup>1</sup> Model N. of Log-Likelihood (random effects) variance and M2 **M3** M4 covariance M1 (Litter) -11,470 4 0 M2 (Litter+Dam) 6 15.83 -11,467 36.73 20.90 M3 (Litter+Sire) 7 -11,472 M4 (Litter+Dam+Sire) -11,468 10 60.61 44.78 23.87

Log-Likelihood value and BIC

<sup>1</sup>BICr,s = -2 (logLik r- logLik s) – (Pr- Ps) log(N)





# Posterior means (SD) for (co)variance components of farrowing survival by model and trait (pure/crossbred)

Model	Component	Purebred		Crossbred
M1	$\sigma^2_{litter}$	0.407 (0.025)		0.295 (0.035)
M2	$\sigma^2_{litter}$	0.357 (0.024)		0.214 (0.032)
	$\sigma^2_{dam}$	0.077 (0.023)		0.112 (0.034)
M3	$\sigma^2_{litter}$	0.393 (0.024)		0.268 (0.035)
	$\sigma^2_{sire}$	0.021 (0.009)		0.033 (0.021)
	σ <sub>sire (pure-cross)</sub>		0.0015 (0.011)	
M4	$\sigma^2_{litter}$	0.347 (0.025)		0.189 (0.032)
	$\sigma^2_{dam}$	0.078 (0.020)		0.121 (0.034)
	$\sigma^2_{sire}$	0.018 (0.008)		0.031 (0.018)
	$\sigma_{\rm sire \ (pure-cross)}$		0.006 (0.008)	
	$\sigma_{dam \ pure \ - \ sire \ pure}$		0.005 (0.011)	
	$\sigma_{dam pure - sire cross}$		0.002 (0.016)	





### Posterior means, SD, Monte Carlo standard error of heritability and genetic correlation for farrowing survival (M 4)

UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA LIBERTAS

				HPD-95%	
Component	Mean	SD	Monte Carlo		
			Standard Error	Lower bound	Upper bound
h <sup>2</sup> purbred	0.05	0.02	0.002	0.01	0.09
h <sup>2</sup> crossbred	0.09	0.05	0.006	0.01	0.19
<b>r</b> <sub>sire pure-cross</sub>	0.24	0.33	0.037	-0.38	0.82
<b>r</b> <sub>dam pure</sub> - sire pure	0.17	0.28	0.024	-0.42	0.68
<b>r</b> <sub>dam pure</sub> - sire cross	0.03	0.32	0.033	-0.56	0.63







LIBERTAS

# Conclusions

- Genetic variance (sire effects) on piglet survival is large enough to be exploited
- Genetic correlation is moderate, and a 95% Bayesian confidence region included zero
- Traits measured (mortality in pure and cross) are different
- Results suggest that genetic progress expected in crossbred when selection is based on purebred may be nil
- Breeding goal should be at the commercial level





# **Thanks for your attention!**

The authors wish to thank the Gorzagri s.s. for providing data used in this study

Alessio Cecchinato Department of Animal Science – Padova - Italy

Marginal posterior densities and trace plots of heritability of sire variances and of the correlation between the sire effects on pure and crossbred animals (M 4)



6

### Posterior means, SD, Monte Carlo standard error of heritability and genetic correlation for farrowing survival (M 4<sup>a</sup>)



UNIVERSA UNIVERSIS PATAVINA

LIBERTAS HPD-95% SD Monte Carlo Mean Component Standard Error Upper Lower bound bound h<sup>2</sup>purbred 0.05 0.02 0.002 0.01 0.09 h<sup>2</sup>crossbred 0.09 0.05 0.006 0.01 0.19 0.25 0.33 0.037 -0.38 0.82 <sup>r</sup>sire pure-cross 0.18 0.28 0.024 -0.42 0.68 dam pure - sire pure 0.040.32 0.033 -0.56 0.63 rdam pure - sire cross

#### <sup>a</sup> model without the litter effect



