



# Genetic parameters for survival at birth in meat sheep breeds

J. Maxa<sup>1,2\*</sup>, A.R. Sharifi<sup>1</sup>,E. Norberg<sup>2</sup>, M. Gauly<sup>1</sup>, H. Simianer<sup>1</sup>, J. Pedersen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics, University of Goettingen, Germany <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, University of Aarhus, Research Centre Foulum, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>Danish Agricultural Advisory Service, Denmark

\* jmaxa@gwdg.de

## Introduction

- About 10 000 flocks in Denmark 500 participate in the intensive registration system
- 30 breeds Texel and Shropshire the most common
- BV estimated for 29 traits combined into 8 subindexes and a total merit index
- Genetic evaluations are mainly based on values from the literature

- Genetic parameters have to be estimated for important traits involved in breeding programs
- Lamb survival is the crucial factor for sheep productivity
- The greatest number of losses is reported especially within the first day after birth

## **Objective**

Estimation of heritabilities and genetic correlations between survival at birth and birth weight including both direct and maternal genetic effects in the main sheep breed populations in Denmark

## **Materials and methods**

- Data from 1992 to 2006
- Pedigrees were traced back to 1962

	Texel	Shropshire
Animals in pedigree	85 880	63 052
Animals with data	61 953	46 159
Animals in flock-year	35	29
Offspring per sire	34	38

EAAP 2008, Vilnius, Session 15

- Statistical analyses of fixed effects carried out using generalized linear model
- Genetical analyses carried out with bivariate animal models
- Application of logistic link function
- ASREML package (Gilmour et al., 1998)

Abstract no. 3392

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ijklmnop}}{1-\pi_{ijklmnop}}\right) = \Phi + S_i + L_j + D_k + P_l + FYS_m + adir_n + amat_o + c_p$$

$$\pi_{ijklmnop} = \text{Probability of lamb survival}$$

$$\Phi = \text{Overall mean effect}$$

$$S_i = \text{Sex}$$

$$L_j = \text{Litter size}$$

$$D_k = \text{Lambing difficulty}$$

$$P_l = \text{Parity}$$

$$FYS_m = \text{Flock-year-season}$$

- $adir_n$  = Direct additive genetic effect amat<sub>o</sub> = Maternal additive genetic effect
  - = Common litter effect

Random

C<sub>p</sub>

$$BW_{ijklmno} = S_i + L_j + P_k + FYS_l + adir_m + amat_n + c_o + e_{ijklmno}$$

$$BW_{ijklmno} = Birth weight$$

$$S_i = Sex$$

$$L_j = Litter size$$

$$P_k = Parity$$

$$FYS_l = Flock-year-season$$

$$adir_m = Direct additive genetic effect$$

$$amat_n = Maternal additive genetic effect$$

$$c_o = Common litter effect$$

$$e_{ijklmno} = Residual$$

8

Abstract no. 3392

### **Results**

#### Means (SD) of analysed traits

	Survival at birth (%)	Birth weight (kg)
Texel	92.5 (26.4)	4.5 (0.9)
Shropshire	91.7 (27.6)	4.2 (0.9)

#### (Co)variance components for Survival at birth

	Texel	Texel Shropshire	
$\sigma^2_{a}$	0.23	0.25	
$\sigma^2_{m}$	0.25	0.33	

 $\sigma^{2}_{\ a}-$  direct additive genetic variance,  $\sigma^{2}_{\ m}-$  maternal additive genetic variance

#### (Co)variance components for Survival at birth

	Texel	Shropshire
$\sigma_{a}^{2}$	0.23	0.25
$\sigma^2_{m}$	0.25	0.33
σ <sub>a-m</sub>	-0.13	-0.23
$\sigma^2_{c}$	0.59	0.62

 $\sigma_{a}^{2}$  – direct additive genetic variance,  $\sigma_{m}^{2}$  – maternal additive genetic variance,  $\sigma_{a-m}^{2}$  – direct-maternal genetic covariance,  $\sigma_{c}^{2}$  – common litter variance

#### Heritabilities: Survival at birth (SB)



#### Heritabilities: Birth weight (BW)

	SB direct	SB maternal	BW <sub>direct</sub>	BW maternal	
SB <sub>direct</sub>	0.05				
SB maternal		0.06		Texel	
BW direct			0.18		
BW maternal				0.26	
SB <sub>direct</sub>	0.06				
SB <sub>maternal</sub> 0.08				Shropshire	
BW <sub>direct</sub>			0.14		
BW maternal				0.25	
Standard errors: 0.01 - 0.02					
25 <sup>th</sup> August 2008 EAAP 2008, Vilnius, Session 15				Abstract no. 3392	

#### Direct-maternal genetic correlations

		SB direct	SB maternal	BW <sub>direct</sub>	BW maternal	
	SB <sub>direct</sub>	0.05				
	SB maternal	-0.53	0.06		Texel	
	BW direct			0.18		
	BW maternal			-0.22	0.26	
	SB direct	0.06				
	SB maternal	-0.80	0.08		Shropshire	
	BW direct			0.14		
	BW maternal			-0.05	0.25	
	Standard errors: 0.04 - 0.21					
25 <sup>th</sup> August 2008 EAAP 2008,			8, Vilnius, Sessi	on 15	Abstract no. 3392	

#### Genetic correlations: SB-BW

		SB direct	SB maternal	BW <sub>direct</sub>	BW maternal
	SB <sub>direct</sub>	0.05			
	SB maternal	-0.53	0.06		Texel
	BW <sub>direct</sub>	-0.08		0.18	
	<b>BW</b> maternal		0.39	-0.22	0.26
	SB <sub>direct</sub>	0.06			
	SB maternal	-0.80	0.08		Shropshire
	BW direct	-0.25		0.14	
	<b>BW</b> maternal		0.43	-0.05	0.25
Standard errors: 0.10 - 0.16					
25 <sup>th</sup> August 2008		EAAP 200	)8, Vilnius, Sessi	on 15	Abstract no. 3392

#### Genetic correlations: SB-BW

		SB <sub>direct</sub>	SB maternal	BW <sub>direct</sub>	<b>BW</b> maternal
	SB direct	0.05			
	SB maternal	-0.53	0.06		Texel
	BW direct	-0.08	0.02	0.18	
	BW maternal	-0.41	0.39	-0.22	0.26
	SB direct	0.06			
	SB maternal	-0.80	0.08		Shropshire
	BW direct	-0.25	0.21	0.14	
	BW maternal	-0.15	0.43	-0.05	0.25
Standard errors: 0.14 - 0.17					
2	5 <sup>th</sup> August 2008	EAAP 200	8, Vilnius, Sessi	on 15	Abstract no. 3392

#### Genetic trend in Survival at birth



25<sup>th</sup> August 2008

EAAP 2008, Vilnius, Session 15

Abstract no. 3392

## Conclusions

- Maternal h<sup>2</sup> for Survival at birth slightly higher than direct h<sup>2</sup>
  - => importance of both, genetic merit of animal and its dam
- Possible improvement of survival at birth including both direct and maternal effects in breeding programs

=> negative direct-maternal genetic correlations

- Positive maternal genetic correlations between Survival at birth and Birth weight
- Negative direct genetic correlations between Survival at birth and Birth weight

## Thank you for your attention!

EAAP 2008, Vilnius, Session 15 Abstract no. 3392

25<sup>th</sup> August 2008