Session 10 stephen.butler@teagasc.ie

The interface between bioenergetic status and the reproductive axis in lactating dairy cows

S.T. Butler Teagasc, Moorepark, Ireland

W.R. Butler Cornell University, NY, USA





EAAP, Vilnius, August 2008.

Overview

Reasons for declining fertility
Physiology of subfertility
Strain of cow effects on fertility
Strategies for improvement
Conclusions

Selection for increased milk yield



Ireland

Genetic trends for progeny tested bulls - milk



Phenotypic milk and fertility performance NY



Updated from Butler, 2003

Phenotypic fertility performance IRL

	Calv rate	Infertile	Source
No Cows	1 st service	rate %	
3706	60	<10	Crowley et al. (1967)
5660	64	5	White and O'Farrell (1972)
6318	66	<5	Roche et al. (1972)
2355	69	<4	Cunningham et al. (1978)
4542	60	<2	Cunningham and O'Byrne (1980)
13000	44	14	Buckley, Berry, Dillon et al (1999, 2000)

Milk production and conception rate % in New York Holstein herds*- July 2007

Milk Range, kg/cow	Number of Herds	Avg Milk/cow, kg.	CR %, 1 st service	CR %, 2 nd service,	CR %, 3 rd + services
8,000 - 8,999	341	8,636	45	45	46
9,000 - 9,999	368	9,541	41	42	41
10,000 - 10,999	350	10,416	37	39	39
11,000 - 11,999	180	11,257	35	36	36
12,000 - 12,999	66	12,166	34	34	32
> 13,000	28	13,355	33	31	31
		M	lean = 39	41	41

* DHI herds (N=1333) data processed at Raleigh,NC (DairyMetrics report)

Changing management structures

- Confinement systems
 Pasture based systems
 - TMR
 - ↑ energy density
 - $-\uparrow$ herd size
 - Year round calving
 - Heat detection vs. synchronisation
 - **bST (NA)**

- $-\uparrow$ herd size
- Holsteinization
- Supplement feeding
- Split calving (spr & aut)
- Heat detection vs.
 synchronisation

Physiology of subfertility

Gestation-lactation cycle

Reduced conception rates
Greater # of services
Longer calving intervals
Increased days open
Less compact calving pattern

	Part	urition	Breeding		Dry-off	Parturition
					Ļ	
60 Da	Y		305 Day		60 D	ay
dry peri	od		lactation			riod
Mammary involution Foetal grow Mammogen BCS repletio	th esis on	Uterine involution Uterine infection Return to cyclicity Increasing milk yield NEB/BCS loss	Peak yield Increasing DMI -ve/neutral EB	Declining milk yield Stable DMI Neutral EB/+ve EB Stable BCS/BCS gain	Mammary involution Foetal gro Mammoge BCS reple	, owth enesis tion
				280 Day gestation		
			280 Day gestation			

Physiology of subfertility

Postpartum resumption of cyclicity





Outcome	Incidence	Days to Ovulation
Ovulation	40%	20
Regression	40%	50
Cystic	20%	48
Average		30





Beam and Butler, 1997; 1998

177 mature cows,142 primiparous; IGF-I >50 ng/ml @AI = 5x likelihood for conception



Taylor et al., The Veterinary Record 155:583-588. 2004.

Early NEBAL & BCS loss delays first ovulation and relates to poor fertility/increased risk of culling



Hormonal control of early lactation <u>nutrient partitioning</u>

High GH reduces peripheral tissue insulin sensitivity

Promotes partitioning of nutrients away from peripheral tissues and towards mammary gland

Low insulin reduces peripheral tissue glucose uptake

Allows NEFA mobilization

Uncoupling of GH-IGF axis (GHR 1A mRNA)

Low IGF-I reduces GH negative feedback

Increased pituitary GH release

Late pregnancy

Insulin

IGF-I

Growth Hormone

Early Lactation

Insulin resistance (IR) in periparturient dairy cows

IR exists when normal insulin concentrations produce a less than normal biologic response (Kahn, 1978).

Causative factors:

- Overfeeding (obesity) elevated NEFA
- Growth hormone increases prepartum
- Stress infections, endotoxins, cytokines
- Estradiol increases prepartum

Liver triglycerides (TRIG)

 Liver TRIG accumulation during the transition period is directly related to plasma NEFA concentrations.



TRIG accumulation impairs gluconeogenesis, ureagenesis, oxidation of fatty acids and liver capacity to clear bacterial endotoxin (Overton, 2001; Murondoti et al., JDS 87:672 & J Dairy Res 71:129, 2004)

NEFA AUC is related to liver triglyceride accumulation at day 21 and ovulation

Cows with ovulatory 1st dominant follicle have better utilization or disposal of NEFA so less accumulation of triglycerides in liver.



Triglyceride accumulation in liver PP

- Liver TG was higher at day 1 in cows with non-ovulatory follicles.
- Liver TG doubles by day 21 in non-OV cows, but was unchanged in ovulatory cows.



Oestrous cycles in lactating dairy cows



Atypical vs typical cycles↑ Ov failure after luteolysisNo ↑ E2 after luteolysisOv in wave after luteolysis↑ incidence of multiple ov↓ E2 during ovular waves

Normal cows vs heifers
Larger ovarian structures
Lower circulating steroids
Ov later after luteolysis
↑ incidence of multiple ov

Sartori et al. (2004) JDS 87:905-920

Post-ovulatory progesterone



- Pregnancy failure associated with low serum P4
- P4 supplementation from d3 increases embryonic size on d13 & 16 in beef heifers (Carter et al. 2008)
- Cows with larger follicles & greater E2 at AI had greater post-ovulatory P4 & pregnancy rates (Lopes et al., 2007)

Regulation of steroid concentrations

Cause of low P4 in lactating dairy cows

- Inadequate luteal production?
- Greater liver metabolism?
- Combination of both?
- Ovarian structures larger in lactating cows compared to heifers, but steroid hormones lower (Sartori et al., 2004)
- In vitro studies suggest no difference in CL steroidogenic capacity in cows with early or late P4 rise (Robinson et al., 2005)
- Baseline liver bloodflow 2x higher in lactating vs nonlactating cows (Sangsritavong et al., 2002)
 Greater DMI increased MCR in both groups

BUT isn't higher DMI desirable??

P4 synthesis or metabolism?



Regulation of P4 metabolism

Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps D10-14 pp (Butler et al., 2003 & 2004)



Propylene glycol drenched daily from D-10 to +30 relative to parturition. Biopsies D 25.

Butler et al. (2006)





P4 catabolic enzymes Cytochrome P450 2C and 3A mRNA abundance



•Greater DMI increases P4 metabolism

- •Greater DMI usually associated with favourable fertility outcomes??
- Plasma insulin increases when EB status improves
- •When greater DMI increases plasma insulin, P4 metabolism reduced

Lemley et al. (2008). JDS 91:641-645

Influence of milk production on oestrus duration



Wiltbank et al. (2006) Theriogenology 65:17-29

Physiology of subfertility

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow

Moorepark strain comparison study

- NA genetics
 - Selected for increased milk yield
- NZ genetics
 - Selected for milk solids, feed efficiency and survivability in pasture based system

10 NA and 10 NZ cows

- DMI/EB, endocrine and metabolic profiles
- Reproductive hormone profiles
- Embryo quality
- Responsiveness to homeostatic challenges

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow Production and Bioenergetic status





Patton et al. (2008) Animal 2:969-978

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow Hormones and Metabolites







Patton et al. (2008) Animal 2:969-978

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow BCS and nutrient partitioning



Response to IVGTT

No difference in early lactation

Greater clearance rate and shorter t1/2 in mid-lactation for NZ strain

Likely involved in mid-lactation BCS replenishment (IGF-I?)

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow Circulating steroid concentrations



de Feu et al. (2008) Theriogenology: In Press

Strain of Holstein-Friesian cow Embryo Quality



de Feu et al. (2008) Theriogenology: In Press

Economic Breeding Index (IRL)



Genetic selection for improved fertility

New traits to consider

- Energy balance/BCS (Berry et al., 2003)
 - Voluntary recording/industry recording?
- Interval to first ovulation
 - Milk P4 (Petersson et al., 2007)
- Interval to first observed heat
 - Voluntary recording/industry recording?
- Endocrine indicators of metabolic status
 - IGF-I
 - Insulin
- Juvenille predictors?
 - Metabolic?
 - Reproductive hormones?

Genomic selection for improved fertility

- Examine SNP markers (>50K) on thousands of bulls
 Identify SNP's associated with desirable reproductive traits in daughter offspring

 Calving interval
 Non-return rate
 Conception rate

 Requirements

 Large DNA database
 Good phenotypic data on offspring
 - Huge computational power
- Already in use in a number of countries

Conclusions

- Selecting solely for increased milk production has resulted in undesirable genetics for fertility
 - Genetics widely dispersed
- Exquisite co-ordination of metabolism necessary to meet genetic potential for milk yield <u>AND</u> successfully conceive in desired timeframe

Some cows adjust well, some cows don't

 Selection, management and nutritional approaches to maximise postpartum DMI and minimize NEB should benefit fertility

Hormones and metabolites will follow

 Genomic selection holds promise to hasten genetic progress for all traits of interest