

# **The Importance of Turkish Livestock Guarding Dogs in Sheep Husbandry**



**Dr. Cafer TEPELİ**  
**University of Selçuk, Faculty**  
**of Veterinary Medicine,**  
**Department of Animal**  
**Science, 42031 Konya-Turkey**

# Turkey

- ◆ **A bridge between Asia and Europe**
- ◆ **Also a bridge between ages, nations and civilizations**

# EUROPE



# Animal Husbandry in Turkey

- ❖ Important agricultural sector (40 %)
- ❖ Sheep and goat husbandry form significant part of animal husbandry  
(25 % of total meat production)

# Sheep and Goat Husbandry in Turkey

- ◆ **Mostly depends on pasture**
- ◆ **The livestock guard dog accompanies the shepherd and the flock**

# Predators in Turkey

◆ **The wolf**

◆ **Wild pig**

◆ **Bear**

# Turkish Livestock Guarding Dogs

- ◆ primarily used in protecting sheep and goats against predators

# Turkish Livestock Guarding Dog Breeds

◆ **Kangal Dog**

◆ **Akbaş Dog**

◆ **Kars Dog**

# Some Sheep Breeds Identified with Some Guarding Dog Breeds

- ◆ Akkaraman Sheep → Kangal Dog
- ◆ Morkaraman Sheep → Kars Dog
- ◆ Kıvırcık Sheep → Akbaş Dog





















# Aim of the Study

❖ to determine effectiveness of TLGD for reducing wolf predation on domestic sheep

# Materials

- ◆ **292 producers with dogs**
- ◆ **76 producers without dogs**

**were surveyed during 2005 and  
2006 years**

# Methods

**A questionnaire was applied to sheep producers**

- ◆ **Breed, sex and age of dog**
- ◆ **Herd size**
- ◆ **Number of dogs in the flock**
- ◆ **Sheep mortalities caused by wolves**
- ◆ **Number of dogs injuring sheep**
- ◆ **Number of sheep injured by dogs**
- ◆ **Number of producers who use bonding**

# Statistical Analyses

- ◆ **Chi-square analysis was used to compare sheep losses**
- ◆ **In calculations, proportion of sheep losses in total sheep was based on**
- ◆ **Linear regression was used to determine effects of some factors**

# Results

- ◆ **Results are seen in table 1, 2 and 3**

Table 1. Data summarizing characteristics of sheep producers and Turkish livestock guarding dogs reported in the study

Dog Breeds	Producer n	Sheep Total	Average herd size	Sx	Dogs Total	Number of dogs/herd	Sx	Number of sheep/dog	Bonding producers n	Bonding producers %	Sheep mortalities n	Dog injured sheep n	Injured sheep n	Sheep losses in total sheep %	Sheep injuries in total sheep %
Kangal	138	29205	211.63	6.87	273	1.98	0.06	106.97	52 <sup>a</sup>	37.68	35	11 <sup>A</sup>	16 <sup>A</sup>	0.12	0.05
Akbaş	65	12349	189.98	9.07	130	2.00	0.11	94.99	29 <sup>a</sup>	44.62	12	4 <sup>A</sup>	6 <sup>A</sup>	0.10	0.05
Crossbred	89	18914	212.52	8.53	181	2.03	0.10	104.49	21 <sup>b</sup>	23.60	36	33 <sup>B</sup>	41 <sup>B</sup>	0.19	0.22
General	292	60468	207.08	4.64	584	2.00	0.05	103.54	102	34.93	83		63	0.14	0.10
No Dogs	76	5881	77.39	2.35							60			1.02	
Total	368	66349	180.30	4.61										0.89 <sup>*</sup>	

a, b (P<0.05); Differences among bonding producers indicated by different letters in the same column are statistically significant

A, B (P<0.01); Differences among sheep injuries indicated by different letters in the same column are statistically significant

\* = Reducing rate of sheep losses

**Table 2. Results of regression analyses concerning sheep mortalities caused by wolves**

Variable	B	Sx	$\beta$	t	p	Bilateral r	Partial r
Constant	-.155	.140		-1.109	.268		
Breed	.055	.044	.066	1.252	.212	.081	.074
Herd size	<b>.005</b>	<b>.001</b>	<b>.571</b>	<b>7.717</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>.289</b>	<b>.416</b>
Dogs in flock	<b>-.377</b>	<b>.142</b>	<b>-.441</b>	<b>-2.662</b>	<b>.008</b>	<b>.017</b>	<b>-.156</b>
Sex of dog	.007	.032	.019	.226	.821	.059	.013
Age of dog	.028	.042	.100	.668	.505	.023	.040
Bonding	<b>-.430</b>	<b>.081</b>	<b>-.283</b>	<b>-5.289</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>-.248</b>	<b>-.299</b>
<b>R= 0.486                      R<sup>2</sup>=0.236</b> <b>F= 14.69                      p= .000</b>							

**Table 3. Results of regression analyses concerning sheep injuries caused by dogs**

Variable	B	Sx	$\beta$	t	p	Bilateral r	Partial r
Constant	-.462	.107		-4.323	.000		
Breed	.129	.034	.195	3.848	.000	.246	.222
Herd size	.001	.001	.106	1.480	.140	.275	.087
Dogs in flock	.186	.108	.277	1.723	.086	.332	.102
Sex of dog	.042	.024	.138	1.732	.084	.322	.102
Age of dog	-.017	.032	-.075	-.518	.605	.281	-.031
Bonding	-.393	.062	-.328	-6.329	.000	-.277	-.351
R= 0.532      R <sup>2</sup> =0.283 F= 18.78      p= .000							

# Conclusions

- ◆ Data indicated that the use of livestock guarding dogs reduced wolf predation by 89 % .
- ◆ Producers who used bonding had a greater advantage regarding both sheep losses and the number of injuries
- ◆ Turkish livestock guarding dogs are an effective method to manage predation in Turkey