

de Catalunva

## GENETIC DETERMINISM OF METABOLIC **RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN PIGS:** A STUDY IN A DUROC POPULATION



N. Ibáñez-Escriche<sup>1</sup>, J. Soler<sup>2</sup>, L. Varona<sup>1</sup>, J. Reixach<sup>3</sup>, J. Tibau<sup>2</sup>, R. Quintanilla<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> IRTA - Genètica i Millora Animal, Centre UdL-IRTA, 25198 Lleida (Spain). <sup>2</sup> IRTA - Control i Avaluació de Porcí, Veïnat de Sies, 17121 Monells (Spain) <sup>3</sup> Selección Batallé S.A., 17421 Riudarenes (Spain).

Noelia.lbanez@irta.es

## INTRODUCTION

Because feed is the major cost in pork production, optimization of the efficiency in the use of the food resources is an important focus for improvement in pig production.

In the present study we propose to consider the individual variation in the resource allocation pattern and its possible utilization into an index of selection for feed efficiency.

### **OBJECTIVE**

To analyse the variability and genetic determinism of individual metabolic resource allocation for body weight maintenance and growth in pigs, using the procedure described by Piles and Varona (2006)



## MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **Data Collection**

- Animal material: 370 castrated males. belonging to a Duroc commercial line
- Animals stayed under normal intensive conditions and were fed ad libitum on a standard diet
- Daily feed intake was recorded automatically by means of an electronic identification system during a period of 106 d (mean of 2.2 kg/day)
- Weight was recorded at 5 timepoints (approximately each 20 days) during fattening (until 190 d of age and 120 kg of live weight)
- Individual weight gain and average body weight were calculated per animal at each 15 days period.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

A procedure based on a hierarchical Bayesian analysis has been used.

#### First Stage of the model

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{a}_i + \boldsymbol{b}_i \times \boldsymbol{P}_{ij}^{0.75} + \boldsymbol{d}_i \times \boldsymbol{G}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{e}_{ij}$$

- cumulative food intake of individual i during Уij the 15d-period j a
  - individual intercept
- $P_{ii}^{\text{p,rs}}$  average **metabolic body weight** of individual i in the 15d-period j
- cumulative body weight gain of individual i Gii during the 15d-period j
- *b<sub>i</sub> d<sub>i</sub>* **individual regression coefficients** of food intake on, respectively, metabolic body weight and weight gain
- residual effect e

# Second Stage of the model

- $(b,d \mid \beta_b, \beta_d, u_b, u_d, p_b, p_d, G, R, P) \sim N \begin{pmatrix} X\beta_b + Zu_b + Wp_b \\ X\beta_d + Zu_d + Wp_d \end{pmatrix}, R \otimes I$  $\begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ u_d \\ u_d \end{pmatrix} \sim N(0, G \otimes A); \quad \begin{pmatrix} p_b \\ p_d \\ P_d \end{pmatrix} \sim N(0, P \otimes I)$ 
  - b, d vectors of individual regression coefficients on, respectively, body weigh and growth
  - $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{b}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{d}$  vectors of corresponding systematic effects (farm origin and batch of fattening)
- $\boldsymbol{u}_{b}, \boldsymbol{u}_{d}$  vectors of additive genetic effects for **b** and **d**  $\boldsymbol{p}_{b}, \boldsymbol{p}_{d}$  box effect
- G, P, R genetic, box and residual (co)variances matrices

## RESULTS

Statistics of posterior marginal distributions of additive genetic (co)variances, proportion of additive variance and genetic correlation of / between:

- partial regression coefficients of food intake on metabolic body weight (b).
- partial regression coefficients of food intake on body weight gain (d).

|   |                     | Posterior<br>Mean | Posterior standard deviation | Highest posterior<br>interval at 95% | Monte Carlo<br>error |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Additive genetic variance of <b>b</b>                     | $\sigma^{2}_{A(b)}$ | 0.016             | 0.009                        | 0.000, 0.035                         | 0.000048             |
| Additive genetic covariance between <b>b</b> and <b>d</b> | $\sigma_{A(bd)}$    | 0.015             | 0.007                        | 0.001, 0.030                         | 0.000091             |
| Additive genetic variance of <i>d</i>                     | $\sigma^{2}_{A(d)}$ | 0.024             | 0.014                        | 0.000, 0.061                         | 0.000011             |
| Proportion of additive genetic variance for <b>b</b>      | h <sup>2</sup> b    | 0.431             | 0.180                        | 0.073, 0.794                         | 0.000421             |
| Proportion of additive genetic variance for <i>d</i>      | h <sup>2</sup> d    | 0.370             | 0.156                        | 0.068, 0.694                         | 0.000122             |
| Genetic correlation between <b>b</b> and <b>d</b>         | r <sub>Abd</sub>    | 0.811             | 0.266                        | 0.250, 1.000                         | 0.000665             |

Posterior means for proportion of additive genetic variance of coefficients b and d took medium to high values (0.43 and 0.37), and in both cases the highest posterior intervals at 95% did not include zero.

## CONCLUSIONS

Individual metabolic resource allocation for body weight maintenance and growth have an important genetic determinism in our Duroc pigs population

Individual efficiency in the use of food resources for maintenance and growth could be improved by selection

Described model would allow performing genetic evaluation for biological efficiency, and using these breeding values into an index of selection for feed efficiency.