

Analyses of udder health in Valle del Belice dairy sheep using Somatic Cell Count (SCC)**B. Portolano¹, V. Riggio¹, M.T. Sardina¹, R. Finocchiaro¹, J.B.C.H.M. van Kaam²**¹ Dipartimento S.En.Fi.Mi.Zo.-Sezione Produzione Animale, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy² Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia "A. Mirri", Via Gino Marinuzzi 3, 90129 Palermo, Italy

ABSTRACT – Intramammary infections (IMI) are a complex of inflammatory diseases of the mammary gland. Mastitis is one of the most frequent IMI affecting small dairy ruminants. Direct selection against clinical mastitis is difficult because mastitis is not widely recorded; therefore, somatic cell count (SCC) is promoted as selection criterion for mastitis resistance. A dataset containing 2,475 first-lactation Valle del Belice ewes from 14 flocks recorded from 1998 to 2003 was analysed. In total 116 sires with at least four daughters with a record were included in the pedigree file. Test-day IMI events were coded as a binary trait using a SCC cut-off value of 750,000 cells/ml. Analyses of risk factors for the binary IMI trait were based on a logistic regression model. The herd effect was the major risk factor for IMI. The risk of culling due to IMI increased for late season of lambing. A single trait threshold sire model was applied. The heritability calculated using a logit function was 0.16 ± 0.072 , very close to the expected value of 0.14 calculated with Dempster and Lerner's formula treating IMI as a continuous variable by using somatic cell scores.

INTRODUCTION – Intramammary infections (IMI) are a complex of inflammatory diseases which are defined as an inflammation of the mammary gland resulting from the introduction and multiplication of pathogenic micro-organisms. Mastitis is one of the most frequent IMI affecting small dairy ruminants. IMI are mainly of economical, hygienic (consumption of dairy products) and legal importance in Europe (EU directives 46/92 and 71/94 defining the bacteriological quality of milk). Although management is the most effective way to prevent IMI, selection for IMI resistance is an alternative to be considered, at least to prevent any detrimental effect of milk yield on udder health. Direct selection against clinical mastitis is difficult because clinical mastitis is not widely recorded; on the contrary, somatic cell count (SCC) is promoted as selection criterion for mastitis resistance. However, the relationship between SCC and mastitis is far from clear. Some authors (Coffey *et al.*, 1986; Kehrlí and Shuster, 1994) were concerned by the recommendation of continuously decreasing SCC by selection and argued that this trend could impair the cow's capacity for leukocyte recruitment and therefore its ability to respond to IMI. Animals with very low SCC would be more susceptible to mastitis. A punctual approach with a single threshold is a simple methodology which proposes the punctual or instantaneous discrimination between 'healthy' and 'infected' udders (Bergonier *et al.*, 2003). In ewes, with the fluoro-optoelectronic method, single thresholds were proposed, surprisingly ranging from 200,000 to 1.5×10^6 cells/ml (Ftenakis 1996; Bergonier and Berthelot, 2003). The objective of this study was the phenotypic and genetic analysis of IMI estimates from SCC with a single trait threshold model.

MATERIAL AND METHODS – The dataset contained 2,475 first-lactation Valle del Belice ewes from 14 flocks recorded from 1998 to 2003. In total 116 sires with at least four daughters with a record were included in the pedigree file. All first-lactation test-day records were required to have SCC information. The average number of SCC test-day records per ewe was 7.62. For the threshold model, the cut-off value was fixed at 750,000 cells/ml (Di Marco *et al.*, 1997). Mastitis or IMI events were declared with a binary trait L set to one, when the SCC was higher than the cut-off value in one test-day record within lactation, while if SCC within lactation was still lower than the cut-off value the ewes were considered as ‘doubtful or healthy’ and the binary trait L was set to zero. Analyses of the risk factor for the binary IMI trait were based on a logistic regression model using the SAS LOGISTIC procedure (SAS®, 2000). The overall significance of main effects in the model was assessed by a Wald chi-square test. This statistic takes the form of a squared ratio of an estimate to its standard error and asymptotically follows an approximate chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom. The odds ratio (OR) and OR 95% confidence interval were computed according to Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989). The OR measures how much more (or less) likely the outcome is among observations with a given level of a risk factor, compared with those with a reference level of the risk factor. A single trait threshold sire model was applied to the data using the ASReml package (Gilmour *et al.*, 2002). The underlying liability of IMI in first-lactation was modeled as: $L_{ijklm} = \mu + h_i + (sea \times y)_{jk} + s_l + e_{ijklm}$, where L is the IMI event with mean μ for ewe m , daughter of sire l ($l = 1, \dots, 116$) with random effect s_l and lambing i in herd h_i at season j ($j = 1, \dots, 3$) and year k ($k = 1998, \dots, 2003$). The binary trait is not normally distributed, so it is necessary to perform analyses that account for this distribution. Binary analyses were carried out, fitting a Generalized Linear Model, assuming a binomial distribution and using a logit function: $\text{logit}(\pi(x)) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i x_i = X\beta$ where $\text{logit}(\pi(x)) = \ln\left(\frac{\pi(x)}{1-\pi(x)}\right)$ and where π is the expected probability. The heritability estimated from normal analyses, treating the IMI trait as a continuous variable, was converted to the logit scale of liability with a simple relationship (Dempster and Lerner, 1950): $t_c = t_{0,1} \left(\frac{1-p}{i^2 p} \right)$, where p is incidence and i the corresponding mean liability, $t_{0,1}$ is the heritability calculated on the (0,1) scale and t_c is the heritability on the continuous scale (Falconer, 1989).

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS –The results of the logistic regression analysis of effects, investigating risk factors for an “infected” and “doubtful or healthy” udder are presented in Table 1. The overall Wald chi-square test of the model showed a value of 336.9 with $P < 0.0001$. All the effects in the model were significant. The herd effect was the major risk factor of IMI. The risk of culling for IMI increased for later seasons of lambing. In the Dec-Mar and Apr-May seasons of lambing, the IMI incidence was respectively 1.55 and 1.76 times that of the Jun-Nov season of lambing.

Table 1. Risk factors for the IMI trait in first lactation, expressed as odds ratio (OR) with 95 % confidence interval (CI) relative to ewes lambing in 2003 and in season 3.

Risk factor	Levels	P ⁽¹⁾	OR ⁽²⁾	95% CI
Herd		<0.001		
Year		0.0021		
	1998		0.90	0.48-1.67
	1999		0.55*	0.34-0.90
	2000		0.48*	0.30-0.77
	2001		0.54*	0.34-0.87
	2002		0.80	0.49-1.32
	2003		1	-
Season		0.0005		
	Dec-Mar		1.55*	1.23-1.96
	Apr-May		1.76*	1.17-2.63
	Jun-Nov		1	-

⁽¹⁾ P = Global significance of variable (Wald statistics).

⁽²⁾ OR significantly different from 1.0 (P<0.05) are identified by an asterisk.

The effect of the year of lambing showed lower risk for the IMI trait than what has been reported by Barillet *et al.* (2001). The OR of the years 1999 to 2001 indicate significantly lower risk than 2003. The genetic variance was equal to 0.095 and heritability for IMI was 0.16±0.072. This value was higher than those reported by Chang *et al.* (2004) in Norwegian cattle and Heringstad *et al.* (2003), which ranged from 0.03 to 0.11 and from 0.06 to 0.07, respectively. The heritability calculated from logit analyses was very close to the expected value of 0.14 calculated with Dempster and Lerner's formula (1950). The results of this paper must be interpreted with caution. Only with breeding using information on clinical mastitis, one is selecting for the resultant of biological processes that improve mastitis resistance. With SCC, the situation is different: a high value is indicative of a diseased udder while a low value is not necessarily an indicator of a healthy udder. This is because a steady reduction of SCC by breeding may impair the innate immune system. Before relying too much on SCC in breeding programmes, a thorough examination of the linearity of the relationship between IMI and low levels of SCC should be carried out.

REFERENCES – Barillet, F., Rupp, R., Mignon-Grasteau, S., Astruc, J.M., Jacquin, M., 2001. Genetic Analysis for mastitis resistance and somatic cell score in French Lacaune dairy sheep. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 33: 391-415. **Bergonier**, D., Berthelot, X., 2003. New advances in Epizootiology and control of ewe mastitis. *Livest. Prod. Sc.* 79:1-16. **Bergonier**, D., De Cremoux, R., Rupp, R., Lagriffoul, G., Berthelot, X., 2003. Mastitis of dairy small ruminants. *Vet. Res.* 34: 689-716. **Chang**, Y.M., Gianola, D., Heringstad, B., Klemetsdal, G., 2004. Longitudinal analysis of clinical mastitis at different stages of lactation in Norwegian Cattle. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* 88: 251-261. **Coffey**, E.M., Vinson, W.E., Pearson, R.E., 1986. Potential of somatic cell concentration in milk as a sire selection criterion to reduce mastitis in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69: 2163-2172. **Dempster**, E.R., Lerner, I.M., 1950. Heritability of threshold characters. *Genetics* 35: 212-236. **Di Marco**, V., Portolano, B., Todaro, M., Giaccone, P., Caracappa, S., 1997. Le cellule somatiche del latte ovino

in relazione ai fattori ambientali, alla produzione, al CMT e all'esame batteriologico. Arch. Vet. It., 48: 165-174. **Falconer**, D.S., 1989. In: Introduction to quantitative genetics. Longman London and New York. 18: 270-280. **Ftenakis**, G.C., 1996. Use of somatic cells counts or of in direct test on milk for the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in ewes, In Rubino R. (Ed.) Proceeding of Somatic cells and milk of small ruminant. International Symposium, Bella, Italy, Wageningen Pers, The Nederland, 1996, pp. 27-29. **Gilmour**, A.R., Gogel, B.J., Cullis, B.R., Welham, S.J., Thompson, R., 2002. ASReml Guide Release 1.0 VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, HP1 1ES, UK. **Heringstad**, B., Rekaya, R., Gianola, D., Klemetsdal, G., Weigel, K.A., 2001. Bayesian Analysis of liability of clinical mastitis in Norwegian Cattle with a threshold model: Effects of data sampling method and model specification. J. Dairy Sci. 84: 2337-2346. **Hosmer**, D.W. Jr., Lemeshow, S., 1989. Applied logistic regression. New York: John Wiley & sons Inc. **Kehrli**, M.E. Jr., Shuster, D.E., 1994. Factors affecting milk somatic cells and their role in health of bovine mammary gland. J. Dairy Sci. 77: 619-627. **SAS Institute Inc.** 2000, SAS STAT[®] User's Guide, version 8, Vol. 2. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.