EAAP 2004

Paper PNML 2.1

Sandra.Edwards@ncl.ac.uk



LARGE SCALE PIG FARMING SYSTEMS OPPORTUNITIES & CHALLENGES

Sandra Edwards

School of Agriculture, Food & Rural Development University of Newcastle







IMPROVE	ED EC	ONO	AIC EF	FICIE	NCY
	purc	hasing	powe	r	_
Herd size	1-99	-199	-299	-399	400+
<u>£/tonne</u>					
Sow feed	149	143	142	142	136
Grower feed	195	173	182	172	166
Citower leed	190	175	102	(PIC, 19	98)

Enterprise size		
(litters)	175	>175
Hours/litter 6	6.0	4.3

IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS

• Large contemporary batch sizes – Dry sow housing: parity groupings



minimal mixing – Farrowing: human supervision cross fostering

IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS					
	Control	Human intervention			
Stillborn (%)	6.8	1.6			
Died 0-24h (%)	5.2	2.5			
Died 24h-weaning (%)	6.2	6.0			
Total deaths (%)	18.2	10.1			
SPT-SP	(V	White et al, 1996)			

IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS

• Large contemporary batch sizes

 Dry sow housing: parity groupings minimal mixing



- Farrowing: human supervision cross fostering

- Health management: all-in all-out

site segregation

- Nutrition management: phase feeding

IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS all-in all-out

	AI AO cleaned	AI AO Not cleaned	Continuous flow
DLWG (g/d)	658	619	610
Viable bacteria	132	177	201
(cid/in) Dust (mg/m ³)	1.80	2.31	2.51
		(Cargill &	: Banhazi, 1998)

IMPROVED PRO all	IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS all-in all-out					
	AI AO	Continuous flow				
<u>2-6 months</u> DLWG (g/d) Days to market	768	705 +7.6				
% lung lesions	26	76				
	(Purdue Univ	versity, 1997)				

MPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS site segregation				
% of herds	Small	Medium	Large	
Separate nursery	35	45	75	
Separate grow/finish	48	57	78	
	(USE	PA, 2001)		

	Jation	sile segreç	
	Off site	On site	
*:	189 .98	104 .67	<u>Day 12-21</u> DLWG (g/d) Gain:feed
*			<u>Day 21-56</u>
*	506 .76	433 .72	DLWG (g/d) Gain:feed

Moc 30	Modelling Phase Feeding 30-95 kg (Sept 02 costs)				
	Single feed	Two feeds	Three feeds		
DLWG (g)	698	703	709		
FCR	2.69	2.69	2.70		
Feed cost (£/pig)	21.46	20.35	19.42		
N excretion (kg/pig)	3.24	2.94	2.28		

IMPROVED PRODUCTION LOGISTICS phase feeding					
% herds	Small (<2,000)	Medium (2-10,000)	Large (>10,000)		
Reduce N by diet manipulation	7	17	40		
Manure nutrient management plan	19	67	91		
		(USDA, 200	1)		

IMPROVED PRODUCTION ? sow performance					
Herd size	1-99	-199	-299	-399	400+
Pigs/sow/yr	19.1	21.8	22.1	22.1	22.3
Replacement rate (%)	31	40	49	46	53
			(PIC	2, 1998))

IMPRO SC	VED PRC	DUCTION mance	?
Herd size	Small (<250)	Medium (250-500)	Large (>500)
Born alive	9.3	10.0	10.2
No weaned	8.5	8.9	9.0
Sow mortality (%)	5.0	6.0 (U	7.4 SDA, 2001)

weaner/grower performance						
Herd size	Small (<2,000)	Medium (2-10,000)	Large (>10,000			
Mortality (%)	0.5	2.6	2.0			
Grower	2.5	3.0	3.0			
Days to market	175.8	176.2	187.0			



CHALLENGES Health			
Small	Medium	Large	
% using antibiotic treatment			
56	84	82	
65	92	94	
(USDA, 2001)			
	CHALLI Hea Small biotic treatme 56 65	CHALLENGES Health Small Medium Diotic treatment 56 84 65 92 (USDA, 200	

CHALLENGES Health			
	Small (<2,000)	Medium (2-10,000)	Large (>10,000)
% with no c	inical respirat	ory disease	
Nursery	31	20	13
Grower	18	10	6
		(USDA, 200	01)

C	CHALLENGES Health			
Sow mortality	USA	Koketsu, 2000		
	DK	Christensen et al., 1995		
PRRS	DK	Mortensen et al, 2002		
TGE	US	Yanga et al, 1995		
Grower diseases	US	Tuovinen et al., 1997		
Salmonella	DK	Carstensen &		
Carcass condemnation	US	Tuovinen et al 1992		

	CHALLEN Vice	GES	
Hord size	Tail biting (N=55)	Control (N=37)	
sows growers	421 2973	199 1764	2.2
No pigs /stockman	720	524	***
		(Moinard et	al., 2003)





CHALLENGES Environment			
	Small (<2,000)	Medium (2-10,000)	Large (>10,000
Odour complaints (% herds)			
No complaints	99	95	87
≥2 complaints	0.4	2	3
		(USDA, 2001)	



CHALLENGES Labour			
Job satisfaction (%)	<u><</u> 500 sows	>500 sows	
Very satisfied	65	48	
Moderately satisfied	29	31	
Dis-satisfied	6	21	
(Segundo, 1989)			

CHALLENGES Labour		
Source of dissatisfaction	% of stockpeople	
Attitudes of other workers	24	
Management style	24	
The work itself	12	
The physical environment	10	
	(English et al, 1992)	





Factors influencing
food purchasing decisions

Factor	% of respondents rating as important Prompted Unprompted	
Family health Taste	98 97	12 18
Conditions for animals	88	1
Environmental concerns	88	2
Price	82	46
Production method	62	10
		FSA, 2001



