

# Variability in candidate genes for meat performance traits in pigs



R. Mikolášová, T. Urban, K. Civáňová

Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry Brno, Department of Genetics, Brno, Czech Republic  
renem@post.cz

## Introduction

The genes for growth hormone *GH*, heart fatty acid-binding protein *H-FABP*, leptin *LEP*, leptin receptor *LEPR* and *MYC* protooncogene are presented as candidate genes for meat production and meat quality in pigs. We studied genetic variability of these loci and genetic diversity among Large White and Landrace breed in Czech Republic.

Tab 1: PCR conditions

Loci	Temperature conditions of PCR	PCR product	Source
<b><i>H-FABP</i></b>	95°C/60s 57°C/60s 72°C/120s, 30x	700 bp	Gerbens et al.(1997)
<b><i>MYC</i></b>	95°C/40s 58°C/60s 72°C/60s, 30x	322 bp	Reiner et al.(2000)
<b><i>GH</i></b>	95°C/40s 60°C/60s 72°C/90s, 30x	506 bp	Schellander et al.(1994)
<b><i>LEP</i></b>	95°C/40s 55°C/60s 72°C/60s, 30x	152 bp	Stratil et al.(1997)
<b><i>LEPR</i></b>	94°C/60s 55°C/60s 72°C/120s, 30x	2000 bp	Stratil et al. (1998)

## Materials and Methods

We analysed 181 pigs of Large White (LW) and 120 pigs of Landrace (La) breeds. Genotypes of candidate genes were performed using PCR-RFLP methods. Calculated population parameters were: frequencies of genotypes and alleles, Hardy-Weinberger equilibrium, observed and expected heterozygosity, Nei's genetic identity and genetic distance through program Popgene version 1.31 (1999).

Tab 1: PCR conditions

Tab 2: Determination of genotypes of individual loci

Loci	Restriction endonuclease	Genotypes
<b><i>H-FABP</i>:</b>	<i>HinfI</i>	<i>HH</i> 195, 59; <i>Hh</i> 256, 195, 59; <i>hh</i> 256 bp
<b><i>MYC</i>:</b>	<i>MspI</i>	<i>AA</i> 322; <i>AB</i> 322, 201, 121; <i>BB</i> 201, 121 bp
<b><i>GH</i>:</b>	<i>MspI</i>	<i>AA</i> 222, 147, 137; <i>AB</i> 282, 222, 147, 137; <i>BB</i> 282, 222 bp
<b><i>GH</i>:</b>	<i>HaeIII</i>	<i>AA</i> 333, 173; <i>AB</i> 506, 333, 173; <i>BB</i> 506 bp
<b><i>LEP</i>:</b>	<i>HinfI</i>	<i>TT</i> 152; <i>CT</i> 152, 84, 68; <i>CC</i> 84, 68 bp
<b><i>LEPR</i>:</b>	<i>HpaII</i>	<i>AA</i> 2000; <i>AB</i> 2000, 1450, 550; <i>BB</i> 1450, 550

## Results

### Population Descriptive Statistics

Large White					
Locus	N	Frequency of genotypes	Allele frequency relative	$\chi^2$	
		Absolute	Expective		
<b><i>H-FABP</i></b>	60	<i>HH</i> 28 <i>Hh</i> 29 <i>hh</i> 3	30.0 25.0 5.0	<i>H</i> 0.708 ± 0.042 <i>h</i> 0.292 ± 0.042	1.5733
<b><i>LEP</i></b>	181	<i>CC</i> 4 <i>CT</i> 51 <i>TT</i> 126	4.74 49.52 126.74	<i>C</i> 0.163 ± 0.019 <i>T</i> 0.837 ± 0.019	0.1639
<b><i>LEPR</i></b>	18	<i>AA</i> 4 <i>AB</i> 7 <i>BB</i> 7	3.0 9.0 6.0	<i>A</i> 0.417 ± 0.082 <i>B</i> 0.583 ± 0.082	0.9444
<b><i>GH1</i></b>	175	<i>++</i> 82 <i>+-</i> 75 <i>--</i> 18	81.49 76.01 17.49	<i>+</i> 0.683 ± 0.025 - 0.317 ± 0.025	0.0314
<b><i>GH2</i></b>	172	<i>++</i> 98 <i>+-</i> 71 <i>--</i> 13	95.91 65.19 10.91	<i>+</i> 0.747 ± 0.023 - 0.253 ± 0.023	0.7163
<b><i>CMYC</i></b>	167	<i>AA</i> 86 <i>AB</i> 71 <i>BB</i> 10	88.30 66.41 12.30	<i>A</i> 0.727 ± 0.024 <i>B</i> 0.273 ± 0.024	0.8068

Landrace					
Locus	N	Frequency of genotypes	Allele frequency relative	$\chi^2$	
		Absolute	Expective		
<b><i>H-FABP</i></b>	83	<i>HH</i> 44 <i>Hh</i> 32 <i>hh</i> 7	43.27 33.45 6.27	<i>H</i> 0.723 ± 0.034 <i>h</i> 0.277 ± 0.034	0.1597
<b><i>LEP</i></b>	120	<i>CC</i> 4 <i>CT</i> 20 <i>TT</i> 96	1.58 24.84 93.58	<i>C</i> 0.117 ± 0.021 <i>T</i> 0.883 ± 0.021	4.7024*
<b><i>LEPR</i></b>	82	<i>AA</i> 9 <i>AB</i> 40 <i>BB</i> 33	10.14 37.72 34.14	<i>A</i> 0.354 ± 0.080 <i>B</i> 0.646 ± 0.080	0.3046
<b><i>GH1</i></b>	87	<i>++</i> 21 <i>+-</i> 27 <i>--</i> 39	13.56 41.88 31.56	<i>+</i> 0.397 ± 0.037 - 0.603 ± 0.037	11.1207**
<b><i>GH2</i></b>	81	<i>++</i> 62 <i>+-</i> 16 <i>--</i> 3	60.43 19.13 1.44	<i>+</i> 0.864 ± 0.024 - 0.136 ± 0.024	2.2603
<b><i>CMYC</i></b>	100	<i>AA</i> 68 <i>AB</i> 27 <i>BB</i> 5	66.35 30.31 3.35	<i>A</i> 0.8150 ± 0.028 <i>B</i> 0.1850 ± 0.028	1.2186

### Large White

### Summary of Heterozygosity Statistics for All Loci

Locus	Sample Size	$H_O$	$H_E$
<b><i>H-FABP</i></b>	120	0.4833	0.4132
<b><i>LEP</i></b>	362	0.2818	0.2728
<b><i>LEPR</i></b>	36	0.3889	0.4861
<b><i>CMYC</i></b>	334	0.4251	0.3964
<b><i>GH1</i></b>	350	0.4286	0.4331
<b><i>GH2</i></b>	344	0.3547	0.3779
Mean	258	0.3937	0.3966
St.Dev		0.0697	0.0711

$H_O$  - observed heterozygosity  
 $H_E$  - Nei's (1973) expected heterozygosity

Locus	SampleSize	$H_O$	$H_E$
<b><i>H-FABP</i></b>	166	0.3855	0.4006
<b><i>LEP</i></b>	240	0.1667	0.2061
<b><i>LEPR</i></b>	164	0.4878	0.4572
<b><i>CMYC</i></b>	200	0.2700	0.3016
<b><i>GH1</i></b>	174	0.3103	0.4786
<b><i>GH2</i></b>	162	0.1975	0.2347
Mean	184	0.3030	0.3465
St.Dev		0.1198	0.1156

### Nei's Original Measures of Genetic Identity and Genetic distance

Nei's genetic identity (above diagonal) and genetic distance (below diagonal).

Nei (1972)

	Large White	Landrace
Large White	***	0.9717
Landrace	0.0287	***

Nei (1978)

	Large White	Landrace
Large White	***	0.9739
Landrace	0.0265	***

### Dendrogram Based Genetic distance: Method = UPGMA -- Modified

from NEIGHBOR procedure of PHYLIP Version 3.5

Nei (1972)

+	-----	LW
--	1	
+	-----	La

Between	And	Length
1	LW	1.43582
1	La	1.43582

Nei (1978)

+	-----	LW
--	1	
+	-----	La

Between	And	Length
1	LW	1.32406
1	La	1.32406

REFERENCES:  
More information at author's e-mail addresses.